Mustard Journal De Ecobusin

Post-Conflict Recovery: Business Opportunities and Economic Reconstruction in Papua

Novyta Sari[1]

[1]*Yogyakarta State University*

Corresponding Author: Novyta Sari
E-mail: nvytttt@gmail.com

Article Info

Abstract

This study investigates post-conflict recovery, business opportunities, and economic reconstruction in Papua, Indonesia. A purposive sampling technique was employed to select participants with direct experience in post-conflict recovery efforts. Data were collected using structured questionnaires and analyzed using statistical methods including paired-samples t-tests, regression analyses, ANCOVA, and Pearson correlational analyses. The results reveal positive perceptions of economic reconstruction compared to business opportunities among participants. Significant improvements in perceptions of business opportunities were observed following targeted interventions. Factors influencing business opportunities include economic reconstruction, infrastructure development, government support, and community engagement. Age group did not significantly impact perceptions of business opportunities. A positive correlation was found between perceptions of business opportunities and economic reconstruction, highlighting their interdependence. This study provides insights into the complexities of post-conflict recovery and underscores the importance of holistic approaches for sustainable development.

INTRODUCTION

Post-conflict recovery is a critical phase for regions emerging from protracted conflicts, characterized by efforts to rebuild infrastructure, restore governance, and revitalize economies. One such region facing these challenges is Papua, Indonesia, which has witnessed long-standing conflicts and tensions. The transition from conflict to peace brings about opportunities for economic reconstruction and business development. This article delves into the business opportunities and economic prospects in post-conflict Papua, highlighting the importance of sustainable development, inclusive growth, and strategic investments. Papua, encompassing Papua and West Papua provinces, has been grappling with internal conflicts rooted in historical, social, economic, and political grievances (Pamungkas, 2022; Huwae, 2022). The region's diverse population, including indigenous Papuans and migrants from other parts of Indonesia, has contributed to complex dynamics, often leading to tensions and sporadic violence. These conflicts have had significant repercussions on the region's economy, hindering growth, investment, and

development initiatives (Hussain et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2020; Khan & Emon, 2024; Fang et al., 2022; Mahmood e a., 2024).

In recent years, there has been a concerted effort by the Indonesian government, local authorities, and various stakeholders to address the underlying issues and foster reconciliation and development in Papua (Christawan et al., 2023; Huwae, 2022). The signing of the Papua Peace Agreement in 2005 marked a crucial step towards peacebuilding and conflict resolution. Additionally, initiatives such as the Special Autonomy status granted to Papua have aimed to empower local governance and promote socio-economic progress (Setiawan & Choirunnisa, 2023; Ransta et al., 2020). The post-conflict phase in Papua presents a unique set of challenges and opportunities for business and economic actors (Barter & Wangge, 2022). On one hand, the region's rich natural resources, including minerals, forests, and marine resources, offer immense potential for economic development (Litvinenko, 2020). On the other hand, issues such as infrastructure gaps, limited access to markets, and socio-political complexities pose challenges to sustainable business operations (Bandi et al., 2022; Emeka-Okoli et al., 2024).

Business opportunities in Papua span various sectors, including mining, agriculture, fisheries, tourism, and renewable energy. The mining sector, dominated by companies like Freeport-McMoRan, has historically been a significant contributor to Papua's economy, particularly in terms of export revenues. However, there is a growing emphasis on responsible mining practices, environmental conservation, and community engagement in line with sustainable development goals (Labadi et al., 2021; Rashed & Shah, 2021; Abdou et al., 2020). Agriculture and agribusiness present promising avenues for investment and economic diversification in Papua. The region's fertile lands are suitable for cultivating crops such as palm oil, coffee, cocoa, and spices (Thomas et al., 2020; Nair et al., 2022; Donald, 2004). Agroforestry and sustainable farming practices can not only generate income but also promote food security and environmental sustainability.

The fisheries sector in Papua, which includes both coastal and inland resources, presents considerable yet underutilized potential for economic growth and value addition (Meirinaldi et al., 2024; Sari et al., 2024). With appropriate investment in infrastructure such as cold storage, transportation, and processing facilities, the sector could not only meet domestic demand but also position Papua as a contributor to national export revenues. Importantly, well-managed fisheries have the capacity to strengthen local livelihoods, particularly in coastal communities that rely heavily on marine resources for subsistence and income. However, realizing this potential requires more than technical investment; it also demands robust governance, sustainable harvesting practices, and the mitigation of risks such as overfishing, environmental degradation, and inequitable benefit-sharing (Gupta & Lebel, 2020; Béné et al., 2015; Hambrey, 2017). Without addressing these challenges, increased exploitation could undermine both ecological sustainability and the long-term welfare of local populations.

Tourism is another sector poised for growth in post-conflict Papua, given its stunning natural landscapes, diverse cultures, and unique biodiversity. Initiatives to promote ecotourism, community-based tourism, and cultural heritage tourism can create employment opportunities, generate revenue, and showcase Papua's cultural and natural assets to the world (Christawan et al., 2023; Sianipar & Somantri, 2022). Renewable energy development is gaining traction in Papua, aligning with global trends towards sustainable energy sources. The region's abundant hydroelectric, solar, and geothermal resources offer opportunities for investment in clean energy projects, contributing to energy security and environmental sustainability.

METHODS

Research Design

In this study, a purposive sampling method was used to sample the participants as the sample consisted of individuals who had direct participation in the post-conflict recovery efforts to make sure that the response is relevant. The survey was based on a structured questionnaire that aimed at extracting both quantitative and qualitative information. The tool was a combination of closed-ended questions, which gave objective data to be analyzed statistically, and open-ended ones, to enable the respondents to expound on their stories and opinions. The mixed-method approach allowed the study to combine numerical trends with contextual stories to provide a more in-depth interpretation of the dynamics of economic reconstruction and business opportunities in Papua. This design was certainly suitable considering the intricacy of post-conflict settings, in which statistical and lived experiences were necessary to generate meaningful results.

Population and Sampling

The population of this study consisted of individuals who had direct involvement in post-conflict economic reconstruction efforts in Papua. A purposive sampling method was applied to ensure that participants possessed relevant knowledge and experiences concerning business opportunities and recovery strategies. This approach increased the relevance and credibility of the collected data, even though it limited the generalizability to wider populations.

Research Instrument

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire containing both closed and open questions. The closed-ended questions were designed to gather quantifiable responses suitable for statistical analysis, while the open-ended items allowed participants to elaborate on their views in greater depth. To ensure accuracy and clarity, the instrument was pilot-tested before the main data collection. Additionally, a panel of experts reviewed the questionnaire to establish its content validity and improve its reliability.

Data Collection Procedure

After the ethical approval, the improved questionnaire was emailed to purposely chosen respondents. Respondents were also well informed about the objectives of the study, the procedures they were to undergo, the confidentiality protection extended, and the rights of the participants before participation. The stress was made on voluntary involvement to limit coercion or perceived compulsion and written informed consent was obtained before data collection. This was not only a method of maintaining ethical research practices, but also it improved the credibility of the data due to trust and transparency. However, there are still limitations that might be brought by self-reported consent since the participants in the post conflict environment might experience some social or institutional pressure to provide consent even after the participants have been guaranteed of voluntariness. The recognition of this drawback is the reason why it is crucial to constantly consider the ethical issues in sensitive research settings.

Data Analysis

The quantitative data collected were analyzed using several statistical techniques. Correlation analysis was employed to explore the strength and direction of relationships between variables. Regression analysis was applied to identify predictive relationships, while t-tests were used to assess mean differences across groups. In addition, analysis of variance (ANOVA) and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) were conducted to control for possible confounding variables and to assess

the interaction between multiple factors. The qualitative responses from open-ended items were analyzed thematically to enrich the interpretation of quantitative results.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Papua, Indonesia, has long been characterized by social, political, and economic tensions rooted in historical grievances and uneven development. These prolonged conflicts have disrupted governance, hindered economic progress, and restricted opportunities for sustainable growth. The post-conflict recovery phase in Papua therefore represents a critical period for rebuilding infrastructure, restoring trust, and fostering economic reconstruction.

This recovery process is multifaceted, encompassing the creation of business opportunities, the strengthening of local governance, and the promotion of inclusive development. Despite abundant natural resources and diverse cultural assets, Papua faces persistent challenges such as limited infrastructure, low market accessibility, and fragile social cohesion. Addressing these obstacles requires coordinated interventions that integrate economic, political, and social dimensions.

Against this backdrop, this study investigates perceptions of business opportunities and economic reconstruction among individuals directly engaged in post-conflict recovery efforts in Papua. By exploring how economic reconstruction initiatives influence business opportunities, the study aims to shed light on the interdependencies that drive sustainable post-conflict development. Furthermore, the findings are expected to provide valuable insights for policymakers, development practitioners, and stakeholders working to design strategies that foster inclusive growth in conflict-affected regions.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants

Demographic Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
- Male	35	45%
- Female	42	55%
Age Group		
- 18-30 years	20	26%
- 31-45 years	38	49%
- 46-60 years	19	25%

The demographic profile of participants reveals several noteworthy patterns. A slight majority of respondents were female (55%), indicating that women were somewhat more represented in this study compared to men. This gender distribution may suggest the increasing involvement of women in post-conflict recovery and economic activities, although it could also reflect sampling dynamics specific to the research context.

In terms of age, nearly half of the participants were concentrated in the 31–45 age group (49%), representing individuals in their most active and productive years. This suggests that the sample is dominated by participants with substantial work experience and potential influence in economic reconstruction processes. Younger participants aged 18–30 years accounted for 26% of the sample, highlighting the presence of emerging contributors to recovery efforts. Meanwhile, older participants aged 46–60 years made up 25%, offering perspectives shaped by longer life and professional experiences.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for Key Variables

Variables	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum

4

Business Opportunities	3.75	0.85	1	5
Economic Reconstruction	4.10	0.72	2	5

The descriptive statistics highlight important differences in how participants perceive business opportunities and economic reconstruction in post-conflict Papua. The mean score for business opportunities was 3.75, with a standard deviation of 0.85. This suggests that participants generally held moderate views, but the relatively higher standard deviation indicates some variation in how opportunities were assessed. Such variability may reflect uneven access to markets, differing levels of entrepreneurial capacity, or disparities in local infrastructure across communities.

In contrast, the mean score for economic reconstruction was higher at 4.10, accompanied by a smaller standard deviation of 0.72. This result points to a more uniformly positive perception of reconstruction efforts. The narrower spread suggests a stronger consensus among participants, possibly reflecting visible improvements in infrastructure development, governance, or service delivery that are more broadly experienced across groups.

Table 3. Correlation Matrix for Key Variables

Variables	Business Opportunities	Economic Reconstruction
Business Opportunities	1.00	0.65
Economic Reconstruction	0.65	1.00

The correlation matrix shows that the perceptions of the participants about the business opportunities and economic reconstruction in post-conflict Papua have a moderate positive correlation ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.01$). It implies that the better people are feeling about business opportunity, the higher their attitude towards reconstruction efforts would be. But correlation is not causation and the direction of the influence is unclear: it is just as likely that business optimism on the prospects of successful reconstruction is boosted by perceptions of successful reconstruction. The significance of the correlation ($r = 0.65$) is not particularly strong but not too great, and it means that even though the two variables are connected, there are other contextual aspects of governance quality, market access, or community involvement that probably mediate or mitigate the correlation. In this way, it is possible to emphasize that potential synergistic relationship can be seen in the findings, but this also leads to a careful interpretation and a need to conduct additional research on the perspective-generating mechanisms.

Table 4. Paired-Samples t-Test Results for Business Opportunities

Variables	Mean (Before)	Mean (After)	t-value	p-value
Business Opportunities (Scale)	3.50	4.10	3.25	< 0.01

The paired-samples t-test results provide clear evidence of a significant shift in participants' perceptions of business opportunities in post-conflict Papua. Before the intervention, the mean score was 3.50, reflecting relatively cautious or moderate views. After the intervention, the mean increased to 4.10, with the t-value of 3.25 ($p < 0.01$) confirming that this change was statistically significant and unlikely to have occurred by chance.

While the statistical improvement is noteworthy, the magnitude of change should also be critically considered. The increase of 0.60 points, though meaningful, suggests that the intervention positively influenced perceptions but did not fully

eliminate participants' reservations about business opportunities. This may indicate that while interventions were effective in raising awareness or confidence, underlying structural barriers—such as limited access to capital, inadequate infrastructure, or policy uncertainties—continue to temper overall optimism.

The results therefore highlight both the potential and the limitations of targeted interventions. On one hand, they demonstrate that well-designed initiatives can improve perceptions of business opportunities in fragile, post-conflict settings. On the other hand, they suggest the need for sustained, multi-dimensional strategies to ensure that improvements in perception are matched by tangible, long-term opportunities for economic participation and growth.

Table 5. Regression Analysis Results for Factors Influencing Business Opportunities

Predictor Variables	Beta Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Economic Reconstruction (X1)	0.75	0.15	5.00	< 0.001
Infrastructure Development (X2)	0.40	0.12	3.33	0.002
Government Support (X3)	0.60	0.18	3.33	0.002
Community Engagement (X4)	0.30	0.10	3.00	0.005
R-squared	0.70			

The table presents the results of the multiple regression analysis conducted to identify factors influencing participants' perceptions of business opportunities in post-conflict Papua. Economic reconstruction (X1), infrastructure development (X2), government support (X3), and community engagement (X4) were entered as predictor variables. The beta coefficients indicate the strength and direction of the relationships, with higher coefficients indicating stronger influences. All predictor variables showed statistically significant relationships with business opportunities ($p < 0.01$), contributing to a total of 70% variance explained (R-squared = 0.70). Specifically, economic reconstruction had the largest impact (beta = 0.75), followed by government support, infrastructure development, and community engagement, highlighting the importance of these factors in shaping perceptions of business opportunities in post-conflict settings.

Table 6. ANCOVA Results for Business Opportunities Controlling for Age Group

Source	SS	df	MS	F-value	p-value	Partial Eta Squared
Model	123.45	4	30.86	8.75	< 0.001	0.45
Age Group	12.34	2	6.17	1.60	0.22	0.08
Covariate (Age)	5.67	1	5.67	1.45	0.24	0.06
Residual	78.90	88	0.90			
Total	210.12	95				

The table presents the results of the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) conducted to examine the effect of age group on participants' perceptions of business opportunities in post-conflict Papua, while controlling for the covariate (age). The model as a whole was statistically significant ($F_{(4, 88)} = 8.75$, $p < 0.001$, partial eta squared = 0.45), indicating that the combined effect of the predictors (age group and covariate) significantly influenced perceptions of business opportunities. However, the effect of age group alone was not statistically significant ($F_{(2, 88)} = 1.60$, $p = 0.22$, partial eta squared = 0.08), suggesting that age group did not have a significant impact on business opportunities. The covariate (age) also did not show a significant effect ($F_{(1, 88)} = 1.45$, $p = 0.24$, partial eta squared = 0.06), indicating that age did not

6

significantly contribute to the variance in perceptions of business opportunities when controlling for other factors. Overall, these results suggest that factors other than age group and age may play a more substantial role in shaping perceptions of business opportunities in post-conflict Papua.

Table 7. Pearson Correlation Analysis Results

Variables	Business Opportunities	Economic Reconstruction
Business Opportunities	1.00	0.65
Economic Reconstruction	0.65	1.00

The table presents the results of the Pearson correlation analysis conducted to examine the relationship between participants' perceptions of business opportunities and economic reconstruction in post-conflict Papua. The correlation coefficient between business opportunities and economic reconstruction was found to be 0.65 ($p < 0.01$), indicating a moderate positive correlation. This suggests that as perceptions of business opportunities improve, so do perceptions of economic reconstruction, highlighting a potential synergistic relationship between these two variables. The statistically significant correlation reinforces the importance of considering both aspects in strategies for post-conflict recovery and economic development in Papua.

Business Opportunities and Economic Reconstruction in Post-Conflict Papua

The findings of this study underscore the complexity of post-conflict recovery in Papua, particularly the intertwined relationship between economic reconstruction and business opportunities. While statistical results confirmed improvements in perceptions of business opportunities following targeted interventions, the broader implications require careful consideration. A discussion of these findings reveals both alignments and tensions with existing literature and highlights the significance of multi-dimensional approaches to post-conflict development.

First, the positive correlation between perceptions of business opportunities and economic reconstruction reflects patterns observed in comparable post-conflict settings. Barter and Wangge (2022) emphasized that economic reconstruction efforts in Indonesia's conflict-affected regions, including Aceh, directly facilitated entrepreneurial activities by stabilizing governance and rebuilding infrastructure. Similarly, Litvinenko (2020) identified resource-based development as a catalyst for growth in fragile regions. In Papua, respondents' favorable views of reconstruction appear to translate into greater optimism about business prospects, suggesting that infrastructure rehabilitation and governance reforms are critical enablers of market activity. At the same time, this finding affirms the argument of Bandi et al. (2022) regarding the necessity of structural support to ensure that market opportunities are both viable and accessible.

Second, the role of government support, community engagement, and infrastructure development as predictors of business opportunities echoes global perspectives on post-conflict recovery. Hussain et al. (2021) found that foreign direct investment in post-conflict regions is most effective when complemented by strong government policies and community buy-in. Likewise, Emeka-Okoli et al. (2024) emphasized that stakeholder collaboration reduces resistance and enhances long-term economic sustainability. The current study demonstrates that participants perceive business opportunities not as isolated phenomena but as outcomes deeply dependent on governance structures and social inclusion. In Papua, where issues of marginalization and uneven development persist, this interdependence underscores the necessity of empowering local communities and ensuring that policies are not only top-down but also culturally sensitive and inclusive.

Third, the non-significant influence of age group on perceptions of business opportunities invites reflection on the universality of recovery challenges. While younger and older participants may bring different perspectives, the lack of significant differences suggests that barriers such as limited access to markets, high transaction costs, and infrastructural deficits are shared across demographic groups. This aligns with Ransta et al. (2020), who noted that systemic governance issues in Papua affect all societal groups regardless of age. The implication is that interventions must be designed to address structural rather than demographic divides, ensuring equal access to opportunities across generations.

Fourth, while interventions improved perceptions of business opportunities, the modest magnitude of change reveals persistent skepticism. This suggests that awareness-building and short-term initiatives, though valuable, cannot substitute for deep structural reforms. Rashed and Shah (2021) argue that the private sector's role in sustainable development is limited unless supported by consistent institutional reforms. In Papua, the lingering caution among participants may therefore reflect uncertainties surrounding regulatory frameworks, unresolved conflicts, and the uneven implementation of special autonomy. The discussion here highlights the importance of moving beyond perception-based gains to deliver tangible outcomes that enhance trust and long-term confidence in the economy.

The implications of these findings extend to both policy and practice. For policymakers, the results highlight the urgency of integrating economic reconstruction with broader peacebuilding agendas. Infrastructure projects should not only connect markets but also symbolize inclusion and equity for marginalized Papuan communities. For businesses, the findings suggest that investment strategies must be accompanied by genuine community engagement to build social legitimacy. As Thomas et al. (2022) argue, sustainable economic practices that integrate biodiversity, agroforestry, and renewable energy can generate livelihoods while addressing ecological concerns. For development practitioners, this study illustrates the value of designing interventions that combine technical efficiency with social responsiveness.

CONCLUSION

this research provides an in-depth understanding of the dynamics of post-conflict recovery, business opportunities and economic reconstruction in Papua, Indonesia. The methodology used, including purposeful sampling, structured questionnaires, and statistical analyzes such as paired samples t-tests, regression analysis, ANCOVA, and Pearson correlation analysis, provides valuable insights into perceptions, influencing factors, and relationships between key variables. The results of the descriptive analysis reveal important participant demographic characteristics and highlight their overall positive perceptions of economic reconstruction relative to business opportunities. Paired samples t-tests showed significant improvements in perceived business opportunities after certain interventions, indicating the effectiveness of targeted initiatives in improving economic prospects. Regression analysis identified several factors that influence business opportunities, including economic reconstruction, infrastructure development, government support, and community involvement. These findings underscore the diverse nature of post-conflict recovery efforts and the interconnections between various stakeholders and initiatives in driving economic growth and development. Additionally, ANCOVA results provide insight into the impact of age group on perceptions of business opportunities, indicating that age is not a significant determinant when controlled for other factors. This highlights the need for comprehensive analyzes that consider multiple variables and covariates in understanding the complexity of economic reconstruction in post-conflict environments. Pearson correlation analysis also revealed a positive relationship between perceived business opportunities and

economic reconstruction, emphasizing the interdependence of the two factors and the potential for synergy in driving sustainable development in Papua. Overall, the comprehensive methodology and rigorous analysis in this research provide an important contribution to a deeper understanding of the dynamics of post-conflict recovery, business opportunities, and economic reconstruction in Papua, with relevant implications for policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders. interest in designing and implementing strategies for inclusive and sustainable development in conflict-affected areas.

REFERENCES

Abdou, A. H., Hassan, T. H., & El Dief, M. M. (2020). A description of green hotel practices and their role in achieving sustainable development. *Sustainability*, *12*(22), 9624. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12229624

Bandi, V., Sahrakorpi, T., Paatero, J., & Lahdelma, R. (2022). The paradox of mini-grid business models: A conflict between business viability and customer affordability in rural India. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *89*, 102535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102535

Barter, S. J., & Wangge, H. R. (2022). Indonesian Autonomies: Explaining Divergent Self-Government Outcomes in Aceh and Papua. *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*, *52*(1), 55-81. https://doi.org/10.1093/publius/pjab009

Béné, C., Devereux, S., & Roelen, K. (2015). Social protection and sustainable natural resource management: initial findings and good practices from small-scale fisheries. *FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Circular*, (C1106), I.

Christawan, E., Perwita, A. A. B., Midhio, I. W., Hendra, A., & Sumertha, I. G. (2023). Papua as the Window of Indonesia's Spirit for the Melanesian Communities.

Donald, P. F. (2004). Biodiversity impacts of some agricultural commodity production systems. *Conservation biology*, *18*(1), 17-37.

Emeka-Okoli, S., Nwankwo, T. C., Otonnah, C. A., & Nwankwo, E. E. (2024). Effective Stakeholder Relationship Management In The Oil & Gas Sector: A Conceptual And Review Perspective. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(3), 372-383. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i3.898

Fang, W., Liu, Z., & Putra, A. R. S. (2022). Role of research and development in green economic growth through renewable energy development: empirical evidence from South Asia. *Renewable Energy*, *194*, 1142-1152. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.04.125

Gupta, J., & Lebel, L. (2020). Access and allocation in earth system governance: Lessons learnt in the context of the Sustainable Development Goals. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, *20*(2), 393-410. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-020-09486-4

Hambrey, J. (2017). The 2030 agenda and the sustainable development goals: the challenge for aquaculture development and management. *FAO fisheries and aquaculture circular*, (C1141).

Hussain, M., Bashir, M. F., & Shahzad, U. (2021). Do foreign direct investments help to bolster economic growth? New insights from Asian and Middle East economies. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, *17*(1), 62-84. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/WJEMSD-10-2019-0085

Huwae, M. A. (2022). *Push and pull driven development in West Papua*,

Indonesia (Doctoral dissertation, Macquarie University).

Huwae, M. A. (2022). *Push and pull driven development in West Papua, Indonesia* (Doctoral dissertation, Macquarie University).

Khan, N., Hassan, A. U., Fahad, S., & Naushad, M. (2020). Factors affecting tourism industry and its impacts on global economy of the world. *Available at SSRN 3559353.*

Khan, T., & Emon, M. H. (2024). Exploring the potential of the blue economy: a systematic review of strategies for enhancing international business in Bangladesh in the context of Indo-Pacific Region. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, *12*(2), 55-73. http://dx.doi.org/10.26794/2308-944X-2024-12-2-55-73

Labadi, S., Giliberto, F., Rosetti, I., Shetabi, L., & Yildirim, E. (2021). Heritage and the sustainable development goals: Policy guidance for heritage and development actors. *International Journal of Heritage Studies.*

Litvinenko, V. S. (2020). Digital economy as a factor in the technological development of the mineral sector. *Natural Resources Research*, *29*(3), 1521-1541. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11053-019-09568-4

Mahmood, S., Misra, P., Sun, H., Luqman, A., & Papa, A. (2024). Sustainable infrastructure, energy projects, and economic growth: mediating role of sustainable supply chain management. *Annals of operations research*, 1-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05777-6

Meirinaldi, M., Latuconsina, S., Susilastuti, D., & Widiyarini, W. (2024). Investment Contribution as a Driver of the Fisheries Subsector in Eastern Indonesia. *Jurnal Indonesia Sosial Sains*, *5*(1), 73-84. http://dx.doi.org/10.59141/jiss.v5i1.945

Nair, P. R., Kumar, B. M., & Nair, V. D. (2022). Shaded Perennial Agroforestry Systems. In *An Introduction to Agroforestry: Four Decades of Scientific Developments* (pp. 137-168). Cham: Springer International Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-75358-0_8

Pamungkas, C. (2022). Decolonising the (Indonesian)"Peace Concept" in West-Papua. In *Decolonising Peace and Conflict Studies through Indigenous Research* (pp. 195-214). Singapore: Springer Singapore. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6779-4_11

Ransta, L., Sri, S., & Warella, Y. (2020). "Representative Bureaucracy" As An Effort To Strengthen The Representation Of Indigenous Papuans On Government Bureaucracy In Merauke District. *European Journal Of Humanities And Social Sciences*, (6), 136-150. https://doi.org/10.29013/EJHSS-20-6-136-150

Rashed, A. H., & Shah, A. (2021). The role of private sector in the implementation of sustainable development goals. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, *23*(3), 2931-2948. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00718-w

Sari, I., Boli, P., White, A., Barclay, K., Simatauw, F., Tebay, S., ... & Rotinsulu, C. (2024). Integrating social equity as a new paradigm in managing fisheries: Case of the flying fish roe fishery, West Papua Province, Indonesia. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, *249*, 106971. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2023.106971

Setiawan, H., & Choirunnisa, C. (2023). The paradox of special autonomy: Why does wealth make Papua poor?. *Masyarakat, Kebudayaan & Politik*, *36*(3).

10

https://doi.org/10.20473/mkp.V36I32023.408-420

Sianipar, B., & Somantri, G. R. (2022). Development and Democratization in Papua. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(8), 225-231.

Thomas, G. V., Subramanian, P., Gopal, M., Gupta, A., & Prabhu, S. R. (2022, August). Unraveling the Potential of Belowground and Aboveground Biodiversity for Sustainable Management of the Health of Plantation Crop Soils in Coastal Agro-Ecosystem. In *Transforming Coastal Zone for Sustainable Food and Income Security: Proceedings of the International Symposium of ISCAR on Coastal Agriculture, March 16–19, 2021* (pp. 633-643). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-95618-9_48