Mauve Journal De Leardu

Effective Strategies in Learning Media Development in the Digital Era

Novina Anjalina Nur Anisa[1], Donna Boedi Maritasari[1], Eli Yusfiana[1], Hizbul Wathan Zikri[1]

[1]Elementary School Teacher Education, Faculty of Education, Hamzanwadi University

Corresponding Author: Novina Anjalina Nur Anisa

Article Info	Abstract
	Digital-based learning media has become an essential component of contemporary education, driven by the acceleration of technological transformation. This study aims to synthesize effective strategies in the development of digital learning media through a systematic literature review. Findings from the analysis reveal five key strategies: Conducting student needs analysis, applying instructional design models such as ADDIE, Utilizing digital technology and interactive platforms, Integrating continuous evaluation and revision, and Strengthening teacher competence through TPACK-based professional development. These strategies are contextualized within broader challenges, including limited infrastructure, uneven digital literacy, and resistance to change among educators. The study highlights that effective strategies must be integrative, context-sensitive, and iterative. This review contributes to academic discourse by aligning practical strategies with theoretical models and by identifying research gaps for future studies in educational technology.

INTRODUCTION

During some recent years, the educational environment has undergone significant changes and the rapid development of digital technologies is one of the reasons why. The traditional classroom paradigm has become very dynamic, flexible, and more student-centred because of the integration of digital tools and platforms into the educational practice. Such shift is not restricted to technology insertion into the curriculum, but the redefinition of the pedagogical approach at a broader scale as the roles of the teacher and learner are reallocated and learning processes are more collaborative, interactive and individualised (McLoughlin & Lee, 2010; Ateş & Köroğlu, 2024).

The digital media has taken the central role in the delivery of learning and the resources accessed in an instructional process can be heterogeneous, thus making it possible to implement a differentiated instruction so as to fulfil the needs of given students (Onyishi & Sefotho, 2020; Smets et al., 2022). Such media include multimedia resources, learning management systems, interactive applications, and simulation software applications which increase the cognitive engagement and

promote the results of independent learning (Azis, 2019). However, just because tools are available, this does not simply offer any higher learning outcomes. The success of online media will depend on the intensive planning in the construction and use of digital media. Without alignment of pedagogy, continuous improvement, and systematic assessment, the use of digital tools can turn into a distraction instead of a support of instructional outcomes (Pratiwi & Dewi, 2024). One of the recurrent problems has been the lackluster amalgam of teaching models and theories of instruction. Despite frequent use by practitioners and developers of visual aids, animated teaching steps, and virtual tests, most of these applications are very shallow and unmatched to the learning goals and needs and wants (Rachma et al., 2023; Almohammadi, 2025). The studies focusing on the area are based mostly on descriptive data, which describes tendencies or group lists of technologies rather than synthesises their architectural concepts and modes of operation. Recent debates have highlighted that there is a strong need to have frameworks that ensure that technological innovation and pedagogical purpose are bridged (Alam & Mohanty, 2023; Lescrauwaet et al., 2022). Through paradigms of instructional design, namely, ADDIE (Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, Evaluation) and Successive Approximation Model (SAM), as well as a concept of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK), systematic means of successful curricular creation can be achieved (Sumardi et al., 2024). The models prepare the educators to design learning environments that will be at once pedagogically, technologically as well as content satisfactory. However, it is not unusual to find practitioners with training gaps or institutional lack of support that leads to a sequence of ad hoc experiments that may erode the media quality or teaching effectiveness (Schaffner et al., 2025; Burke & Rau, 2010).

There is also evidence that student engagement is also one of the pivots of effective digital learning. Modern students, especially those in the elementary and secondary programs, require experiences that are educative and engaging and are congruent to their empirical realities (Thiessen, 2007; Lampropoulos et al., 2022). In turn, the socioculture of students, their digital literacy and cognitive development must be regarded by educators when designing media. In a dense and fast changing an educational environment, a homogeneous and standard strategy is no more appropriate. In that way, logical study of the needs students have before making media has shown to be the most essential way of maintaining relevance and accessibility (Nurrita, 2018; Lasekan et al., 2024; Bettayeb te al., 2024).

In addition to the curricular design, the best implementation of digital platforms and tools requires an assessment and continuous-improvement approach (Nikolic et al., 2021; Haq, 2024; Damayanti et al., 2024). The technologies that are introduced to the classrooms are eternally, becoming up-to-date, and the needs of students change according to the changes in the curriculum, the familiarity with technology, and alterations in the learning environment (e.g., the hybrid or remote learning mode). Digital media, hence, should be understood as something that should be constantly revised, formative assessed, and checked by the stakeholders. Continuous evaluation not only enhances the quality of digital content but also ensures its adaptability to emerging educational challenges (Purba et al., 2024; Ahmed & Sidiq, 2023).

Using scholarly research, teacher competence was also given a critical position in the effectiveness of digital media implementation (Fernández-Batanero et al., 2022). Teachers cannot just be facilitators of technology as a side line role since they are those who design and facilitate learning experiences. The task of maneuvering within the complexities of digital leaning terrains requires a combination of content knowledge, pedagogical and technological proficiency (Wei, 2024). In these circumstances, the TPACK frame presents a model of critique and development of

such competencies and argues that successful online teaching is an exercise of connecting depth tools with the learning content and pedagogy. As such, professional growth programs that increase the TPACK skills of teachers are essential to the sustainability and scalability of digital learning strategies (Sumardi et al., 2024; Kong et al., 2023).

Nonetheless, due to the respective factors discussed Mhlongo et al. (2023), methodological challenges (poor infrastructure, spotty internet connection, and the difficulties of changing) may always hamper the process of using the digital learning media. Three issues that may contribute to the disparity in the acquisition of knowledge between the schools in a rural or resource-deficient environment and the schools in an urban area are fewer technological resources or professional development opportunities or services that the schools in a rural setting or the schools in a resource-scarce setting may get in relation to the acquisition of knowledge level, which may further widen the gap in the acquisition of knowledge between the schools in an urban setting and the schools in a rural setting or that may exist between the schools in a resource-heavy setting and the schools in a resource-scarce setting (Hossain, 2 Moreover, technologic development is exceedingly fast and a teacher may be discomposed with the fact that he or she may not be in a position to use new strategies. These contextual-related issues underscore the necessity to possess their adaptable program response measures that can care about site-specific contexts and feasibilities of a given institution (Pratiwi & Dewi, 2024).

The following paper challenges these intricate issues with the assistance of the systematic literature review which is going to search the appropriate literature and synthesize the effective strategies within the sphere of the development of digital learning media. It is not really a list of technological devices, but it is a recipe of what can actually be done based on educational theory, research and practice. It is found that there are five essential steps that should be followed in the process of the successful development of the digital learning materials: (1) a good analysis of the needs of the students, (2) application of the design plan models such as the ADDIE, (3) application of the digital tools and interactive websites, (4) setting up the continual process of the assessment and elimination, and (5) competence of the teachers through the professional development on the basis of the TPACK. This article expands on the emerging discourse of innovation in education in digital era, relating the theoretical concept with the practical implications of the same. It encourages an iterative, context-sensitive and integrative approach to the construction of digital media, that is, the empowering of educators, the engaging of students, and the exploitation of system-level challenges of change in education. Finally, the aim is to nurture a learning situation where digital media is not seen as an alternative to the methods of teaching, but as a way of establishing a higher level of learning and greater educational fairness.

METHODS

The conducted research employs a methodology of systematic literature review (SLR) to reveal and synthesize successful strategies in the process of creating digital learning media in the case of modern education. The SLR approach allows a thorough and systematical analysis of already available scholarly sources, which forms a strong basis to define the key concepts, theory bases as well as implementation issues related to the digital instructional media. The researchers used scholarly articles, books, and institutional reports that have been published within the past decade to make the research relevant and valid. The inclusion criterion was based on publications on key issues like the instructional design, online pedagogy, professional development of teachers, online literacy and educational technology. These literatures were retrieved using academic databases and journals on the

Internet that were recognized to be credible sources of research on educational backgrounds.

The stages that were used to conduct the literature review are three. First, the major concepts were identified within every source and focus was on clear strategies, frameworks (including ADDIE, SAM, and TPACK), and situational variables as determinants of media effectiveness. Second, the results were condensed down to thematic groups according to pattern repetition, which included student-centered design, technology integration, and evaluation methods. Third, the synthesis of the themes provided a formulation of a coherent set of strategic recommendations in the development of digital media.

As opposed to using the traditional narrative review, this analysis did not simply describe the already made studies but highlighted where the studies pointed at in accordance with the literature. The focus was laid on determining integrative and actionable approaches which could not only be theoretically solid, but also be rapid to address the ever-changing issues of digital learning transformation in education. The rigor of the methodology applied to it makes it capable of contributing to the academic nature of the problem and its actualization in the sphere of digital learning media design.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The design of efficient digital media of learning has come to be a very central concern in the 21 st century educational context being propelled by high-speed uprising in the world of technology and the paradigm orientation in the methodology of learning. With renewed focus on education being more student-centered, interactive and technology rich in the learning environment, there has been a great increase in the demand of the design of digital media. With this development, most of the educational programs are still coerced and superficial. Far too frequently, there are attempts to resort to the incorporation of the tools or online platforms without being informed by ideologically consistent and theoretically and pedagogically sound strategies. Consequently, digital integration most often revolves around the what, namely the technology itself, but not how and why, which puts its capabilities of fundamentally changing learning into a very small range.

Needs Analysis of Learners Learning Centered

The premise on the bedrock to effective digital learning media by Pearl would be the argument that learner-centered needs analysis is held in theory and supported in the literature widely. Conceptualizing learner characteristics, which would involve cognitive styles, sociocultural backgrounds, access to technology, and readiness to engage with learning materials, may also be to a significant extent, a necessary driver of relevance and effectiveness of the resulting media (Nurrita, 2018; Azis, 2019). This argument is due to the notion that the media based on the proper perception of learners has higher chances of enhancing engagement, motivation, and achievement of better learning results. Nonetheless, this principle is often provided, however it is not very evenly applied, especially in the education field since there is no time or expertise or institutional aid to take a protracted look at a learner.

As a matter of fact, numerous educational technology projects are based on the assumptions or uniform personality profiles instead of empirical and situation-specific information. The task of carrying out a valid and all inclusive needs analysis is usually underrated. Because of a wide variety in learning preferences and the heterogeneity of classes, it is necessary to have fine grained instruments and methodological rigor that is rarely put into consistent use in reality. Moreover, the translation of these results into the design-decisions is a complex process that requires not only a pedagogical knowledge but also a design expertise. Failing to have

4

this data-design bridge, needs analysis can easily turn into an empty pursuite as opposed to an actual basis of development.

More importantly, the fact that digital media content continues to be produced according to a system of one-size-fits-all does show disregard of learner variability within the system. Indeed, it has been observed that a lack of a proper needs analysis tends to lead to media that does not appeal to its intended audience, thus hurting the media in terms of educational efficacy (Huang et al., 2020). The problem is especially sharp when it comes to under-resourced environments where developers cannot appropriately modify content to local conditions because they do not have the infrastructure and the capacity. When this is the case, standard solutions may be preferred due to their ease of scaling, even though they have low adaptability characteristics and also may not fit well in the context of the culture.

Although repetitive and unending evaluation has been recommended by some academics to constantly perfect digital media (Jalinus et al., 2019; Wahyuni et al., 2023), it necessitates prolonged institutional determination, technical skill, and input with instructors, designers, and learners. Such conditions do not necessarily happen at all times, particularly in the areas of mass tutelage where e-educational drives tend to be top down and atomistic. Hence, because learner-centered needs analysis is imperative in theory, in practice, it is useful as much as it is incorporated into the design practice, institutions promote it, and it becomes an ongoing, not singular, event. Absent such crucial coordination, aspirational is a better description than accomplished of the potential of learner-centered media.

Application of Instructional Design Models

Models of instruction like the ADDIE and SAM have been regarded as blue prints in the creation of digital learning media over a long period of time. They are also commended on their systematic method that facilitates consistency between learning outcome, learning requirements and content development (Rachma et al., 2023; Branch, 2009). Specifically, the sequence of phases in ADDIE that include Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation provide a rational process according to which every step should be considered carefully. Nevertheless, this kind of praise deserves a more critical analysis. The fact is that these models have assumed to ensure effective results but this comes with the lack of seeing the fact that there is much reliance in their success depending on the flexibilities, contextual needs and adaptability of the models when applied to practice.

Overall, ADDIE has been viewed in practice as rigid and linear, and therefore it has been criticized as unresponsive to the iterative and fast user stimuli of modern digital learning environments. Applying the model strictly will suppress the innovation, slow down the revision process, and develop inefficiencies, at least in situations where mid-developmental challenges emerge unexpectedly (Eryilmaz and Cigdem, 2021; Morrison et al., 2019). Although its clarity serves well a novice designer, it may also have constraining effects on creativity and the ability to find solutions in a rapidly changing setting or a highly complex situation. SAM (Successive Approximation Model) on the other hand overcomes most of these limitations by focusing on such things as rapid prototyping, looped feedbacks, and continuous updates. However, the flexibility that makes SAM rather easy to cope also may lead to insufficient depth in the initial analysis stage that will challenge the pedagogical integrity of the end product.

Additionally, much intellectual literature on the instructional design models ordinarily fails to tap on the structural and individualistic components of their deployment. As an example, the importance of interdisciplinary cooperation in order to make the design process richer due to the introduction of different expertise is often promoted (Seel, 2012). Nevertheless, the construction and maintenance of

5

productive collaborative teams is not usually easy. The variations in the pedagogical school of thought, communication patterns, and institutional expectations may become a barrier to the progress without being controlled. Moreover, the same institutions often do not equip the staff with the time, training, or leadership to actually collaborate, or be iterative in their design practices. Such barriers have a propensity to critically restrict the potential of even the strongest structure models.

After all, models such as ADDIE and SAM can and should still be used as guidance but they cannot be seen in a prescriptive model formula. They would not be effective because of their uniform use, but the extent to which they are keenly taken into consideration within the confines of the education environment, complexity of the material, and learner requirements. This can hinder creativity, slow down innovation and diminish the effectiveness of the digital learning solutions due to excessive use of structured models with little adaptation. In such a way, there is a necessity to strike a balance between the structural robustness of the instructional design models and the flexibility, contextual sensitivity that is required in responding to the challenges of contemporary education.

Digital Technology Integration

Digital tools and platforms are often marketed as one of the cornerstones of contemporary educational innovation, especially when it comes to informing the processes of student interactivity, engagement and collaboration. Platforms that are available and are popular including Google Classroom, Edmodo, Powtoon, Quizizz and Kahoot, have the potential to bring dynamic learning to students (Jediut et al., 2021; Azis, 2019). Nevertheless, the blind adoption of digital tools frequently creates the issue of superficial integration, when the end goal is not on pedagogical effectiveness, but on the technology as the new shiny thing. The habit of seeing technology there as a synonym of learning good is a clear indication of a long-term divide that something digital in a solo capacity will somehow be inherently transformative.

The extent to which these tools have educational value is dependent in real terms on the ways that such tools are incorporated into the learning process. Studies always prove that pedagogical intents are the real factors underlying the accomplishment of learning independence, rather than the number of platforms or even their popularity (Rahmawati et al., 2023). The most important element that a teacher has to understand is not standard digital literacy, but deeper insight on how to match available tool functionality with learning tasks, student requirements, and the complexity of the text. Regrettably, this affinity is not so regularly present, specifically when educators get meager professional growth or when they are compelled to implement instruments very fast without proper tutorial. In digital circumstances, the tools could be limited to nothing more than a digital version of conventional procedures and will not support higher-order thinking or student independence.

Further, new issues may be generated because even the effective use of digital tools can be abused or misused. Poorly built-in technology can lead to additional feelings of cognitive overload, slicing focus, and a decrease in digesting abilities, especially in students with limited self-control talents or an absence of a solid internet connection (Adedoyin, Soykan, 2020). The tools which are aimed to enhance engagement are the cause of disengaging in some settings because of its usability, fatigue in use, or useless application. It is an emphasis on the need to consider not only the tools that are employed, but how and why they are used within particular pedagogical schema.

Thus, serious and philosophical planning on digital tool integration is a necessity. Online resources must not be overvalued as the supreme goal itself; however, it is an appropriate tool to promote deeper, inquiry-based, and student-centered learning. It involves a transition towards pedagogy-based design rather than the tool-focused

innovation where technology only complements rather than substitutes the basics of good teaching. Absent such a pivot, danger indeed exists that digital media will become a veneer over less-than-altogether-relevant instructional frameworks, providing the semblance of advancement with the mask of underlying structural misalignments in curriculum, teacher training, and evaluation.

Ongoing Review and Press Edit

The facet of continuous evaluation constantly appears in discussions regarding critical elements of helping the digital learning media to earn a passage of success as pedagogy-oriented, user-centered, and goal-oriented. The purpose of both formative and summative evaluations is independent yet supplementary purposefully: the former will present timely insights throughout the development, and the latter shall evaluate the overall effect that the development has after its implementation (Purba et al., 2024; McKenney & Reeves, 2018). In the practice, however, disproportionate dependence upon summative types of evaluation that take place after the deployment is the characteristic feature of many digital media projects despite this clear theoretical framing. This is a reactive way of thinking as the errors in design, the technical shortcomings and the disconnect with the need of the learners is mostly identified late and would have had earlier means of redress with a more responsive feedback loop.

The remarkable criticism of the practice is that we fail to consider the evaluation as an ongoing iterative process. Although literature suggests the inclusion of real time feedback mechanisms, including learner analytics, usability testing, and educator reflective feedback, it is not commonly done as work on it is unsteady and superficial (Koehler et al., 2014). Evaluation is usually seen as a buck-passing exercise or end-of-term activity and not as a formative decision technique of continuous betterment. Such a perception constrains the possibility of a rapid prototyping, iterative design and responsiveness to changing conditions in the classroom. By doing this, it negates the flexibility that digital media was designed to provide when compared to traditional learning materials that are static in nature.

In addition, the vast majority of evaluations are based erroneously on the scale of cognitive outcomes, and the other two, which are equally important to the holistic learning, affective and psychomotor aspects, are being overlooked (Wang & Hannafin, 2005; Alenezi, 2018). The short-sighted view of either test scores or satisfaction surveys of users does not reflect on the subtle aspects of digital tools in terms of changing motivational level of students, engaging them in behaviors, and in their skills. Such a reductionist approach threatens to misrepresent the effectiveness of the media, as well as create the ability to have poor design choices become compounded during repeated iterations of development. Assessment ought to be a wide-ranging range of learning indicators and it must be ingrained into the process of the instructional design early on.

Finally, when evaluation is viewed as a settled or terminal activity, then there is neglect regarding its possibilities as an innovation driver and quality assurance. To develop the digital learning media in a meaningful way, evaluation has to be rethought as a process integrated into design and both short and long term strategy. It demands refinement since it not only takes methodological rigor but organizational commitment as well: proper time, technical infrastructure, and receptiveness towards an iterative change. Without such a paradigm change, assessment can easily end up being an administrative addendum instead of an active instrument of continuous educational advancement.

TPACK enhances Teacher Competence

The aspect of teacher competence is not about being an enabling attribute but one that is front and center in shaping success in how digital learning media are achieved and accomplished. The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) model is mentioned many times as an integrative one that combines technological expertise with effective pedagogical and content knowledge (Mishra & Koehler, 2006; Sumardi et al., 2024). Theoretically, TPACK offers an excellent prism through which the readiness of teachers can be evaluated and trained. But even though this theoretical beauty is understood very well, it is very difficult to transfer this framework into practice formulating teacher competencies. The expectation that teachers can just as easily or consistently incorporate technology into instruction does not account adequately for the diversity in the level of demonstrating interest, access to professional learning opportunities as well as their motivation.

Although most agree on the necessity of a professional development process of transition to digital tools and resources, much of the teaching force is not ready to face working with digital tools in an engaging way. The challenges like low levels of digital literacy, change fear, time, and poor institutional incentives remain on the way of progress (Purba et al., 2024b). Also, more often than not, conventional training frameworks do not support the experiential and reflective practices required to manage sustainable change in digital pedagogy. The unfavorable characteristics attributed to disconnected or more tool-centered workshops imply shallow adoption that does not lead to a profound pedagogical integration (Tondeur et al., 2017; Kirkwood & Price, 2014). In simple terms, unless the capacity-building forces are in place to be sustainable, contextual and practice-focused, teacher training is an exercise in compliance instead of real empowerment.

Moreover, the structural inequalities aggravate the issues of building competence among teachers. Teachers in rural or less fortunate settings are often left without any stable internet, sufficient hardware, or domestic material, giving them a considerably poorer chance off the bat than their urban colleagues. Such inequalities not only exacerbate the digital divide between students but also between teachers which strengthens the systemic inequality in the outcome thereof. Policy, funding and leadership support on a institutional level are thus essential to target the sources of problem of under-preparedness in teachers. It would not be enough that teachers and educators should be left to alone adapt to change of technology without further infrastructure and pedagogical support to enable them to do so easily. Here, equitable improvement of teacher competence is a structural, not a voluntary measure simply because it should be a priority in case digital learning efforts are to become scalable rather than a collection of individual success stories.

CONCLUSION

In the twenty-first century, digital learning media development is not only an enhancement in pedagogy but is a necessary part of education. The current literature review outlines the five key strategies synthesized through published research, namely, student needs analysis, instruction design, digital technology usage, sustained assessment and revisions, and teacher competency enhancement as those that, when embedded in learning model, e.g., ADDIE and TPACK, can support the increased levels of engagement and learning that students experience. However, some hindrances have continued to hinder the practical execution of these models especially failed infrastructure, unequal opportunity to technology, low teacher preparedness and institutional inequality. These constraints, which are not usually present in generalised discussion of educational innovation, explain why the wide gap between strategic intent and ground-level implementation is so frequently observed.

In this regard, efficient digital media projects should be developed in a manner that is integrative and contextual as being iterative. Integrative approaches associate the pedagogical theory and the technological tool; contextual approaches admit to local realities like geographic and socioeconomic differences; iterative techniques provide their way to constant improvement through evaluation and feedback. Without this cross-dimensional orientation, the digital media projects might turn irrelevant or unsustainable. Future studies ought consequently to consider the main beneficial investigations of these strategies in particular learning contexts and examine the methods through which the cycles of models of design can be instituted into the institutional practice to guarantee long-term versatility and sustainability.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, M. R., & Sidiq, M. A. (2023). Evaluating online assessment strategies: A systematic review of reliability and validity in e-learning environments. *North American Academic Research, 6*(12), 1-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10407361

Alam, A., & Mohanty, A. (2023). Educational technology: Exploring the convergence of technology and pedagogy through mobility, interactivity, AI, and learning tools. *Cogent Engineering, 10*(2), 2283282. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2023.2283282

Almohammadi, A. A. M. (2025). *The Development and Evaluation of E-Diagnostic Application Software* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Hertfordshire).

Ateş, H., & Köroğlu, M. (2024). Online collaborative tools for science education: Boosting learning outcomes, motivation, and engagement. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 40*(3), 1052-1067. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12931

Azis, T. N. (2019). Strategi pembelajaran era digital. *Annual Conference on Islamic Education and Social Sains (ACIEDSS 2019), 1*(2), 308–318.

Bettayeb, A. M., Abu Talib, M., Sobhe Altayasinah, A. Z., & Dakalbab, F. (2024, July). Exploring the impact of ChatGPT: conversational AI in education. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 9, p. 1379796). Frontiers Media SA. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1379796

Burke, L. A., & Rau, B. (2010). The research–teaching gap in management. *Academy of Management Learning & Education, 9*(1), 132-143. http://dx.doi.org/10.5465/amle.9.1.zqr132

Damayanti, W., Arsyad, M., & Bani-Domi, E. S. (2024). Strategic Utilization Of Digital Learning Platforms In The Era Of Independent Curriculum. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning, 2*(5), 1227-1242.

Fernández-Batanero, J. M., Montenegro-Rueda, M., Fernández-Cerero, J., & García-Martínez, I. (2022). Digital competences for teacher professional development. Systematic review. *European journal of teacher education, 45*(4), 513-531.

Haq, H. (2024). Evaluation of the implementation of the merdeka belajar curriculum in secondary schools in the digital era. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 215-228. https://doi.org/10.59944/postaxial.v2i4.391

Hossain, M. (2021). Unequal experience of COVID-induced remote schooling in four developing countries. *International Journal of Educational Development, 85*, 102446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2021.102446

Jediut, M., Sennen, E., Ameli, C. V., Pgsd, P., Santu, U., Ruteng, P., Jend, J., & Yani, A. (2021). *MANFAAT MEDIA PEMBELAJARAN DIGITAL DALAM MENINGKATKAN MOTIVASI BELAJAR SISWA SD SELAMA PANDEMI COVID-19 PENDAHULUAN Kegiatan pembelajaran di kelas melibatkan beberapa pola interaksi , yakni interaksi antara guru dan siswa , siswa dan siswa , serta siswa d. 2*(2), 1–5.

Kong, S. C., Lai, M., & Li, Y. (2023). Scaling up a teacher development programme for sustainable computational thinking education: TPACK surveys, concept tests and primary school visits. *Computers & education*, *194*, 104707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104707

Lampropoulos, G., Keramopoulos, E., Diamantaras, K., & Evangelidis, G. (2022). Augmented reality and gamification in education: A systematic literature review of research, applications, and empirical studies. *Applied sciences*, *12*(13), 6809. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12136809

Lasekan, O. A., Pachava, V., Godoy Pena, M. T., Golla, S. K., & Raje, M. S. (2024). Investigating factors influencing students' engagement in sustainable online education. *Sustainability*, *16*(2), 689. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020689

Lescrauwaet, L., Wagner, H., Yoon, C., & Shukla, S. (2022). Adaptive legal frameworks and economic dynamics in emerging tech-nologies: Navigating the intersection for responsible innovation. *Law and Economics*, *16*(3), 202-220. http://dx.doi.org/10.35335/laweco.v16i3.61

McLoughlin, C., & Lee, M. J. (2010). Personalised and self regulated learning in the Web 2.0 era: International exemplars of innovative pedagogy using social software. *Australasian journal of educational technology*, *26*(1). https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.1100

Mhlongo, S., Mbatha, K., Ramatsetse, B., & Dlamini, R. (2023). Challenges, opportunities, and prospects of adopting and using smart digital technologies in learning environments: An iterative review. *Heliyon*, *9*(6). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16348

Nikolic, D., Castronovo, F., & Leicht, R. (2021). Teaching BIM as a collaborative information management process through a continuous improvement assessment lens: a case study. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, *28*(8), 2248-2269. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-11-2020-1000

Nurrita, T. (2018). Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran Untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa. *MISYKAT: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Al-Quran, Hadist, Syari'ah Dan Tarbiyah*, *3*(1), 171. https://doi.org/10.33511/misykat.v3n1.171

Onyishi, C. N., & Sefotho, M. M. (2020). Teachers' Perspectives on the Use of Differentiated Instruction in Inclusive Classrooms: Implication for Teacher Education. *International Journal of Higher Education*, *9*(6), 136-150.

Pratiwi, W., & Dewi, H. (2024). Kesulitan guru dalam menggunakan media pembelajaran matematika berbasis teknologi digital. *Jurnal Kependidikan Media*, *13*(2), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.26618/jkm.v13i2.15497

Purba, R. D., Zahra, S. A., Hutagalung, R. R., & Nasution, A. F. (2024). Strategi pengembangan profesionalisme guru di era digital. *Tarbiyah Bil Qalam: Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Dan Sains*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.58822/tbq.v8i1.199

Rachma, A., Tuti Iriani, & Handoyo, S. S. (2023). Penerapan Model ADDIE Dalam Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran Berbasis Video Simulasi Mengajar

Keterampilan Memberikan Reinforcement. *Jurnal Pendidikan West Science*, *1*(08), 506–516. https://doi.org/10.58812/jpdws.v1i08.554

Schaffner, J., Glewwe, P., & Sharma, U. (2025). Why programs fail: Lessons for improving public service quality from a mixed-methods evaluation of an unsuccessful teacher training program in nepal. *The World Bank Economic Review*, *39*(2), 473-496. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhae028

Smets, W., De Neve, D., & Struyven, K. (2022). Responding to students' learning needs: How secondary education teachers learn to implement differentiated instruction. *Educational Action Research*, *30*(2), 243-260. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2020.1848604

Thiessen, D. (2007). Researching student experiences in elementary and secondary school: An evolving field of study. *International handbook of student experience in elementary and secondary school*, 1-76. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3367-2_1

Wei, Z. (2024). Navigating digital learning landscapes: unveiling the interplay between learning behaviors, digital literacy, and educational outcomes. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, *15*(3), 10516-10546. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01522-3