E-ISSN 3048-1554 Vol. 1, Issue 1 (2024)
Doi: https://doi.org/10.37899/mjdl.v1i1.6

Mauve Journal De Leardu

A Review of Strategies to Enhance Student Motivation and Achievement in Contemporary Education

Amalia Asy-Syila[1]

[1]*Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar, Universitas Halu Oleo*

**Corresponding Author: Amalia Asy-Syila*
E-mail: asysyilaamalia@gmail.com

Article Info

Article History:
Received: 12 January 2024
Revised: 7 February 2024
Accepted: 10 March 2024

Keywords:
Student Motivation
Academic Achievement
Growth Mindset
Differentiated Instruction

Abstract

In this study, a conceptual literature review is provided to critically analyze some of the ways of increasing student motivation and academic performance in various learning institutions. Knowing the centrality of motivation in influencing learning behavior and learning outcomes, this paper summarizes the current knowledge on Motivation-based effective pedagogical principles such as Self-Determination Theory, Growth Mindset Theory, Goal Orientation and Differentiated Instruction. The strategies identified as key include clear learning objectives, differentiated instructions, development of growth mindsets, group learning environments, and incorporation of technology and gamification to ensure engagement in the process. The results emphasize that the strategies suggested have no blanket effectiveness and must be used with consideration in mind, referring to the specific case and related to the psychological need of humans and students mentioned by Dweck: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Reflective, evidence-based practice based on the concepts of inclusivity, intrinsic motivation, and holistic development of a student is highlighted in this review. This paper has ended by requesting learning settings which encourage significant interest in and inspiration of inner motives as the channels of long-term academic achievement.

INTRODUCTION

An enhanced motivation and achievement by students has always been a subject of long-term discussion in education-related discourse, supported by the fact that the two factors are exceedingly interconnected and that they together determine the learning progress and ultimate success of students. In the modern educational environment, where the students have to deal with variety of academic, psychological and social pressure, the need to explore the effective strategies which can help to develop the motivation and enhance the academic achievements is vastly understood not only as the desirable but as the necessity. The literature used in the review has been critical in qualifying the major strategies that will ensure achievements in motivation strategies so as to increase motivation of the students; the envisaged strategies are adopted in terms of existing theories as well as the recent empirical

study that is to provide inputs to the educators, the policymakers and researchers in the context of the literature review.

It has been common belief that motivation is a pillar of learning. Motivation helps students to invest properly into learning activities, to continue to work on the task and build the competencies to achieve academic and lifelong success without this motivation. This motivation is usually divided into intrinsic and extrinsic ones: the former is derived as taking part in an activity to above all have the kind of satisfaction the activity pampers, whereas the latter is premised on partaking in an activity in order to gain external rewards or evade punishment (Simamora, 2021; Fishbach & Woolley, 2022). Although extrinsic motivation may result in the short-term adherence, many studies have shown that intrinsic motivation is associated more closely with profound learning, mature academic success, and prolonged engagement (Barber & Klauda, 2020). In this way, building intrinsic motivation would be one of the key objectives of educational practitioners, who would strive to make students form a permanent drive to study.

Self-Determination Theory (SDT) is the theoretical framework that feeds a predominant share of modern motivation research and suggests that people are motivated through satisfaction of the three psychological needs autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Autin et al., 2022). Such an environment that fosters these needs leads to autonomous motivation (students are motivated to learn because of their values and interests rather than pressure by others). In case such needs are frustrated, students tend to be less likely to show amotivation, disengagement, and poor performance. In this respect, the educational steps which clearly encourage autonomy (e.g. by providing meaningful choices), competence (i.e. goals set with appropriate level of challenge), and relatedness (e.g through establishing supportive peer and teacher relations) lie at the heart of improving student motivation.

Academic performance by measures such as grades and standardised test scores is the traditional measure of achievement in education. Nevertheless, achievement covers a wider concept of cognitive, affective, and social skills development that one needs to become successful both academically and in non-academic environments (Estrada et al., 2021). In this expanded definition, achievement is not only concerned with a storehouse of knowledge but gaining knowledge and applying skills of analytical thinking, problem-solving, cooperation and toughness. The correlation between motivation and achievement is bi-directional: motivated students have greater chances of achieving success, but success also boosts motivation, meaning that there is a positive feedback circle of engagement and performance.

Although the relevance of motivation and achievement has been well established, the research efforts still present unresolved issues in the quest to develop the said outcomes. Some characteristics of traditional educational systems that hinder intrinsic motivation are rigid curriculum; high-stakes assessment models; teacher-centered pedagogy, among others (Muhammad et al., 2021). Finally, other aspects that may weaken motivation and performance include socio-economic differences, inability to obtain pedagogy that is culturally relevant, as well as inability to access resources especially among the marginalized student groups. To tackle these issues, there should be a change in the approach to more student-friendly, inclusive, and evidence-based practices to support needs of varying students and respond to their diverse needs. Clearly differentiated learning objectives are one of such strategies. Studies show that again and again students appreciate knowing exactly what is expected of them and why it is important (Sides & Cuevas, 2020). Clarity is method of guiding the learning path and gives a degree of purpose and direction to the students which subsequently boosts morale and increases performance. The

students will tend to be more active and to come through the rough spots when they consider learning goals to be attainable and meaningful.

Another key direction will be differentiated instruction, especially in heterogeneous classrooms where students have a great variation in background, ability, and learning preferences. Differentiated instruction encourages equity and inclusion through customizing contents, processes, and assessments by taking into account the needs of individuals and thus making it possible to provide meaningful learning experiences to all students (Shorey et al., 2021). This individual treatment conforms to SDT whereby the sense of student competence and autonomy are encouraged; these two are crucial in developing motivation.

Theory of growth mindset, introduced by Dweck (Kroeper et al., 2022), helps with creating more strategies to more motivation and success. The mindset of growth has been associated with shooting high on resilience, perseverance, and intrinsic motivation. Growth mindset is the idea that talents can be acquired through hard work and learning. A growth mindset culture can be created through the focus on effort, positive feedback, and presenting challenges as growth opportunities, which can be delivered by the educators. This kind of atmosphere will help to motivate students to accept failure as a component of learning thus leading to an improvement in both motivation and performance in the long run. Peer Learning and interaction is also very important in motivating and in achievement. The collaborative environments use the strength of social interaction to increase in-depth knowledge, develop accountability, and encourage the collective ownership of learning (Markowski et al., 2021). Collaborative learning strategies (as well as peer discussions and group projects) complement the academic portion of education to help students develop basic communication, leadership, and social skills. All these instances of collaborative activities meet the need of students in relatedness and help build a favourable classroom atmosphere that helps motivate students.

Moreover, application of strategy technology and gamification has been proven to increase the level of motivation among students by promoting interactive, engaging and personalized learning (Haleem et al., 2022). Digital platforms provide an opportunity to receive immediate feedback, customized learning paths, and other gamified elements like points, badges and leaderboards, engaging in learning to make it more fun and reinforcing extrinsic and intrinsic motivation. Nonetheless, there is need to put reasons of implementation of technology into criticism so that it could serve the pedagogical purpose and not just be an enjoyable tuning.

Though these plans have so much potential, they can only be effective following careful and contextual decisions. What works in one educational context is not necessarily useful in the other, and this again shows that educators need to implement their professional judgment and modify practices to best suit their particular students. Furthermore, although personal methods are desirable, the greatest returns will probably be received in the approach that links several aspects of inspiration and success to solve them concurrently. The presented literature review is hence an attempt at synthesizing the existing body of knowledge related to these strategies, critically analyzing the theoretical, empirical, and pragmatic sides of these strategies. In this way, it will hope to offer some concrete information to educators and policymakers on how to create the learning environments, which will not only enhance academic means but also develop a kind of an intrinsic motivation that is essential to lifelong learning. Unlike the studies that just deal with the collection of empirical data, such conceptual review underlines the significance of the theoretical coherence and evidence-based practice when dealing with the promotion of educational objectives.

METHODS

A conceptual literature review under a qualitative descriptive design was used in this study. The major goal was to synthesize and examine different approaches that can be offered to increase student motivation and achievement referring to the existing educational theories and past research conclusions. Identifiable sources have been located in the academic journals, scholarly book publications and reliable online resources as the scope of research over the last ten years was required to keep up with the most current and relevant examples. The reviewed literature explored major constructs which include intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, growth mindset, goal orientation, self-determination theory, differentiated instructions, and mastery-based learning styles. The identified theme in the acquired literature was analysed to reveal the prevalent natures of strategies and best practices which are common in enhancing student motivation and academic success within different educational settings.

Instead of providing empirical data through collection, the current research relies in the theoretical research and the critical review of other works available. The results will be used to offer practical recommendations to educators and other policymakers that can use evidence-based practices to enhance student engagement and learning outcome using student-centered methods in their institutions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Motivation in Raising Student Performance

It has been accepted that motivation and student achievement are interactively related, intricate, and are core aspects of educational achievement. Motivation can be described as the moving power that helps students to be willing to do something during studies, to persevere during the period of academic difficulties and finally to reach their desired academic objectives. Many researchers have stressed that motivated students tend to develop new effective learning strategies, demonstrate resilience in case of challenges, and attain better academic results (Barber & Klauda, 2020; Simamora, 2021). Motivation plays the role of an accelerator and an upholding agent of academic involvement which, on a long-term basis, leads to higher academic achievement and possession of mandatory skills to facilitate the lifelong learning process.

Specifically, intrinsic motivation has been reported many times as one of the predictors of student success. Students who study due to a real interest, curiosity, or a wish to enhancing their competencies will have a higher chance to experience deeper learning and remember the information longer and be able to apply that knowledge in a creative way (Fishbach & Woolley, 2022). Conversely, extrinsic motivation whereby people are motivated by such incentives (diploma, accolades, or fear of punishment) can result in achieving compliance but in the long run may fail to generate a force that can hold long-term and true-to-a-cause engagement. It is consistent with the results of a study by Barber and Klauda (2020), who stress that intrinsic motivation is more closely connected to the positive academic verge when students consider their educational path and future career to be relevant to their personal well-being and their objectives.

These observations can be explained by the theory proposed by Deci, and Ryan, 1980, known as Self-Determination Theory (SDT), according to which intrinsic motivation thrives in the environment that satisfies students in their needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Autin et al., 2022). Autonomy enables students to believe that they have power over which learning to choose; competence strengthened students who believes that they can easily do anything; and relatedness helps them build significant relationships with fellow students and the

teachers. When such needs are met the students become more prone to the intrinsic goals and become motivated to continue working even without such external reinforcement. Practically, this implies that learning strategies that are supposed to support the educational strategy of increasing motivation have to directly generate these psychological needs in learning settings.

Motivation and Achievement Promoting Strategies

An array of evidence-based approaches are listed as successful in relationship to the motivation and achievement of students. Such plans are based on the valid theoretical principles and are backed by the studies conducted. This section is highly critical of these strategies whilst relating them to larger school goals.

Clear Learning Objectives

It is an essential element that students should be supplied with clear, precise and attainable learning goals in order to develop motivation and direction in an achievement. Perfect goals will enable students to grasp a clear picture of what they are expected to do, and subsequently, allow them to grow with a sense of purpose and direction in their studies (Sides & Cuevas, 2020). By realizing that such goals can be achieved and are relevant, students will have a greater chance of being motivated, because their diligence will gain some sense, as it will be rewarded in the future; namely, when they will go to college or university to study to earn a degree and lead a successful life as the high school students of today.

Further, clearly stated goals help to foster a feeling of competence in students because they provide a set of standards students can use to check the level of achievement. This is in line with the competence element of SDT, which amplifies the confidence of students that they can achieve. On the practical level, the motivation can be increased through co-construction of learning goals with students which should be both challenging, yet be actualizable.

Differentiated Instruction

In view of the needs, abilities, and learning preferences that exist in the contemporary, more heterogeneous classrooms, differentiated instruction has been increasingly used as one of the most recommended strategies to assist with instruction in these settings. Differentiation is based on the belief that learners are going to be more adequately engaged by means of the individualization of the content, the process, the products and the learning environment due to the acceptance that a one-size-fits-all approach does not reach and meet every student. With the view to supporting the development of competence and sense of independence, studies have always demonstrated that differentiated instruction may result in greater achievement and motivation of the students because it represents an opportunity to issue learning experiences that are helpfully challenging (Shorey et al., 2021). When the students believe that learning activities are in harmony with his or her level of preparation, interests, and modes of interaction, the students will tend to be actively involved in learning tasks, maintaining strong effort, and achieving academic success. This congruence between the needs of students and the installation of instructional design is similar to the ideas of Self-Determination Theory, mostly in fostering independence and the sense of competence, which are primary sources of intrinsic motivation (Autin et al., 2022).

Nevertheless, along with its important theoretical base and the discussed advantages, differentiated instruction does not lack critical issues in practice. The other major criticism reflects on its viability especially in classrooms with huge student population and low resources. Teachers tend to say that effective differentiation is a time- and effort-consuming process that demands considerable pedagogical knowledge, such as the capacity to make immediate formative

evaluations and dynamically change the instructional techniques (Hughes & Lewis, 2020). Practically, certain teachers can automatically resort to surface-level than deeper varieties of differentiation, i.e. distinction in task difficulty rather than age-old alternatives of learner diversity, i.e. cultural backgrounds or learning profiles. Additionally, the differentiation, with the purpose of building equity through the availability of meaningful learning among all students, may have a reinforcing effect on achievement disparities, when not used wisely. As an example: providing easier work to weaker students, in the name of differentiation, may reduce them to lower expectations and diminish their growth potential, a process also known (by some) as the soft bigotry of low expectations.

The other important angle of viewing emphasizes on the conflict between differentiation and normative curriculum necessities. In educational models that place an enormous emphasis on high stakes tests and standardized achievements, educators can be limited in their ability to genuinely vary the learning routes without risking the ability to meet the requirements specified in the curriculum. Such systemic pressure can also destroy the flexibility that the theoretical differentiation would require and instead, it becomes tokenistic or intermittent. As well, differentiated instruction not only demands teacher dedication but also institutional support, such as access to professional growth, joint planning time, and flexible curriculum material.

Differentiated instruction is still a very effective tool in improving student response and performance, albeit strategically and well-thought-out. It fits modern educational trends of inclusivity, the learner agency, and individualized learning. Its effectiveness, however, depends on how the teacher can adjust to individual needs of learners and yet expect high standards of everyone. Hence continuous critical observation, professional development, and organizational care are the key elements that help to Raise maximum potentials of the differentiated instruction as an instrument to cultivate motivation and academic success.

Mastery and Growth Mindset

Both the issues of growth mindset and mastery orientation have achieved significant popularity in the academic discourse in terms of promoting student motivation, resilience, and academic performance. A growth mindset, which has become popularized by Dweck (Kroeper et al., 2022; Wolcott et al., 2021), is the power that knowledge and capabilities are changeable and can be cultivated with effort, perseverance, and carefully chosen strategies. Mastery orientation will supplement this by engaging the goals of learners in the process of learning and betterment more than competing on being better than others or proving inherent worth. In combination, these concepts have been attributed to inspire the ability of the students to find strength under pressure, to positively approach the learning process with mistakes, and to feel less panic of the failure, which is directly linked to the intrinsic motivation and lifelong achievement in academics. By developing a growth mindset and focusing on mastery, students tend to accept challenging activities, welcome constructive comments and feedback, and not give up when things go awry, which is in line with the values of the Self-Determination Theory, especially enhancing autonomy and competence as core psychological needs (Autin et al., 2022).

Nevertheless, although there is quite an extensive drive towards these concepts, there is also a rising number of literature that presents a critical review of how these concepts are actually applied in practice and the subtle impact they pose. Other researchers suggest that the implementation of the growth mindset is not enough unless it is integrated into a larger culture of a learning environment that truly allows student autonomy, sets a challenging but non-intimidating task, and gives feedback

(Hughes & Lewis, 2020). Telling students to simply have the faith in the power of trying without putting practices in place to provide students with positive reinforcement chances, emphasizing that access to the opportunities exists, outside of a systematic demerit or failure concentrated on foundational inabilities may in fact result in frustration of those students caught up by the disadvantage of systematic forces, and those still developing in the expression of their abilities. In addition, the effectiveness of growth mindset interventions has been disparate in other studies. Although some of the studies showed improvement regarding the motivation and achievement success, other resources claim that the impact may be small, variable across settings, or restricted to certain groups or students (Barber & Klauda, 2020). Such inconsistency poses quite serious questions regarding effective communication of growth mindset principles, faithfulness of implementation, and whether teachers have the required training to employ these principles as a part of pedagogy practices.

Moreover, mastery orientation, as an effective tool of ensuring deep engagement, also serves as a potential problem when positioned against external demands on performance. In curriculums with a high level of standardized testing as well as competitive assessments, building a culture of mastery might be in conflict with the institutional needs of measurable results rather than orientation toward learning processes. Students might internalize contradicting messages, i.e., in the first place, they might be urged to be interested in learning and improvement, whereas, in the second place, they could be exposed to a set of circumstances in which the primary reward goes to high performances and instant outcomes. Such contradictions are then able to defeat the very potential of the benefits of the mastery orientation thus causing disillusionment or lost interest of the students.

Importantly, it should be noted that a growth approach and mastery-oriented perspective cannot be described as a simple short-term solution to many problems related to education inequity. The socio-economic status, resource availability, quality of instructions and cultural mind-set towards intelligence among other structural factors would greatly contribute towards shaping the perception of students with regard to their ability and real prospects of development. Unless these larger systemic factors are taken into consideration, growth mindset interventions may unfortunately get viewed as punting the burden of change all on the individual learner, instead of the learning systems that contribute so much towards the learning environment of the learner.

Teamwork and Collaboration Learning

In the literature, group work and peer learning are popularly acknowledged to be highly effective methods of cultivating student motivation as well as improving student performance. These strategies are based on sociocultural theory of learning that focuses on the importance of social interaction in belief reasoning development. Through collaborative work, students are given the chance to share their thoughts, communicate with others, negotiate meaning, and learn to think about the various viewpoints; those practices lead to the growth of higher- order thinking competencies, metacognitive consciousness, and a deeper conceptual grasp (Markowski et al., 2021). Group learning is further in line with the principle of relatedness into Self-Determination Theory, which fulfills the underlying need of students to feel conversation and belonging to a group, which consequently strengthens motivation and promotes an optimistic classroom environment (Autin et al., 2022). Moreover, the peer learning fosters responsibility and collective action because students tend to take their contribution to the group with a greater sense of responsibility when their peers need them to succeed as a group.

Yet, despite all the attested advantages, cooperation and peer learning is not always productive and is to be analyzed critically, especially in terms of their application to

different classroom-related environments. There is one important challenge, which is that there is always an assumption that collaboration would result into equitable participation and results of increased learning among all students. Group dynamics in practice might be complicated and filled with problems of unfair distribution of the workload, extrovert member asserting itself, and quieter students being discriminated against or maligned. Collaborative tasks can lead to the strengthening existing social hierarchies or give some students an opportunity to offload or not work on a given task, thus invalidating the potential value of peer learning, unless carefully structured by teachers and facilitated (Hughes & Lewis, 2020). When such happens, then it is possible to say that the motivational benefits of cooperation will be only available to a small group of students and other students would feel frustrated, de-motivated or lack engagement.

Furthermore, collaborative learning can be effective only in case the tasks given are properly selected and the expectations are clear. Studies have also shown that teamwork exhibits maximum cognitive and motivational effects when allocated tasks are complex enough, involve interdependence, and encourage practical resolution of problems but not fake collaboration (Markowski et al., 2021). But ill-structured group work activities may result in redundancy, off-task and social loafing hence decreasing motivation and established learning. There is also a cultural influence contributing notably against or in favor of collaboration as per school pupils. Some students might be used to an individualistic style of learning and see cooperation as less rightful or source of achievement depreciation which might influence participation and success of peer learning instructions.

Although technological innovations provide new grounds to work with digital tools, and conduct activities in an online mode, there are additional complexities. Working on it virtually demands the students be not only knowledgeable about the subject but also to have digital literacy, self-control, communication skills. In the absence of such competencies, technology-mediated collaboration can add to the inequities, especially among those students who do not have access to high-quality technology or are not proficient in digital environment (Haleem et al., 2022). Moreover, even though collaborative technologies may boost the engagement of certain students, they might also alienate others due to either the fact that they cannot cope with a lack of face-to-face interaction or that they learn better in an independent setting.

More importantly, the pedagogical design that is well thought-out and facilitated by educators is a critical attribute that will determine the successfulness of collaboration and peer learning. To coordinate the collaborative educational process, teachers should set clear norms, distribute professional roles between the members of the group, and scaffold all the students to engage them and help them to deal with the group effectively. Constant observation and informal evaluation are necessary to pinpoint and resolve arising group interaction complications and to make sure that cooperation is active and used in line with study goals. It needs much pedagogical competence, and it needs the thorough knowledge of social as well as cognitive development of students.

In a nutshell, although collaboration as well as peer learning can bring significant opportunities to increase motivation and success due to its ability to spur engagement, sociality, and cognitive growth, it does not by default, work. The influence of these is mediated in a variety of ways, such as the composition of the group, task design, cultural attitudes and teacher facilitation. Therefore, there is need to have a critical and reflective approach to collaborative learning with consideration that such methods should be brought forth rather than as a procedure that calls upon group work.

Technology and Gamification Integration

The use of gamification and technology in the learning environments has been championed as a way of improving student motivation and participation which eventually leads to academic success. Technology has a variety of resources that might make learning interactive, flexible, and personified. The digital mediums are offering any source of immediate feedback, an extensive collection of resources, and collaborative learning opportunities among others, which are not restricted by physical distance. Gamification as the use of game design aspects, including points, badges, leaderboards, and challenges in non-game environments, can increase the engagement of students through intrinsic and extrinsic motivation forces (Haleem et al., 2022). These tools have the power to make learning experiences and more dynamic and enjoyable and instill and motivate a desired behavior of perseverance, competitive, and goal-setting behaviors, which are also the main contributors to the three key constructs of Self-Determination Theory, including autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Autin et al., 2022; Ntoumanis et al., 2021; Villalobos-Zúñiga et al., 2021; Grenier et al., 2024).

But despite this great promise of changing the face of technology and gamification, a closer view will expose to massive complexities and risks that lie in the implementation of technology and gamification (Hadi Mogavi et al., 2022; Dah et al., 2024). Among the major ones, there is the question of deliberate and pedagogically based use of technology. It is also possible that the use of technology is made superficial by the influence of trends or institutional pressure instead of actual pedagogical rationale. A misalignment of technology is when it is purposefully applied without direct links to learning and when its newness supersedes the value of engagement in meaningful content, the tendency to become distracted rather than focus on deeply internalized learning can be achieved (McHaney, 2023; Keele, 2024). Likewise, a current debate on the long-term effects of gamification on intrinsic motivation exists because it can originally create an increase in engagement by having a novelty and reward structure. Such an effect of over-focus on external rewards may strengthen the sense of extrinsic motivation, which, as mentioned in the studies by Deci and Ryan dedicated to the topic of motivation, may destroy the spirit of intrinsic interest unless properly harmonic (Fishbach & Woolley, 2022). Gamification therefore has to be made such that it promotes mastery and individual development rather than competition or point-gathering.

Besides, technology delivery based learning raises issues of equity and access. Underprivileged students might have no stable internet connection, lack modern gadgets, or digital literacy, resulting in a digital gap and increasing the disparities in education in the first place (Afzal et al., 2023; Upadhyaya, 2024). Although technology has been typically touted as a democratizing factor in education, when no concerted effort or support mechanisms are put in place to guarantee a level playing field when it comes to access, its advantages may be reaped differently by those students who already enjoy privilege (Haleem et al., 2022). This fact is a challenge to the statement that technological integration will always be motivating or improve the learning of every student.

The other important issue is the possibility of tacit action. Although technology may establish interactivity, it may lead to superficial interaction, particularly when students simply hear, see and watch learning information on video or a gamified app without ample opportunities to engage in active and reflective learning process. Technological performance depends on intelligent design of instructions that not only do impart higher level thinking skills, critical thinking and generic problem-solving skills but not just delivery of the content (George et al., 2024; Muthmainnah et al., 2022). What is more, there is a risk of fragmentation of educational ways of learning as students can roam around various platforms, tools and interfaces; a situation that

may induce cognitive overload that is, alleviating learning experiences; instead of contributing to meaningful learning.

Gamification-wise, points and badges may be motivating in the short term, but they do not necessarily lead to more in-depth knowledge and long-term success unless deployed in and among the context of real-world pedagogically enriching activities. The risk is that it oversimplifies the process of learning into a reward-based process, which even though it might perfectly target the aspects of critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration, which education strives to develop, it might, over-simplify them to the point of existing in an entirely different form as just a reward-based process. Additionally, gamification may not suit all learners equally; some students may find competitive elements demotivating or anxiety-inducing, while others may disengage if they perceive the rewards as trivial or disconnected from genuine learning progress (Brown, 2024).

Critically, the integration of technology and gamification must also consider the role of the educator as a mediator. Effective technology use requires teachers to possess not only technological proficiency but also the pedagogical knowledge to leverage these tools meaningfully within their specific teaching contexts. Professional development is essential to equip educators with the skills to evaluate, select, and implement technological solutions that enhance learning rather than serve as mere distractions or gimmicks. The teachers should also be keen on tracking the engagement of students, and the technology should not become an end in itself, but rather means an end.

CONCLUSION

To sum up, it is necessary to underline that student motivation and achievement could be improved using the whole, multifaceted range of approaches, which thoroughly combine the elements of clarity of learning objectives, differentiated instruction, fostering growth mindset, collaborative learning, the purposeful application of technology, and gamification. Although all these strategies are unique in their benefits, they can only be effective with close attention to detail in order to be motivated to the different needs, backgrounds and context of students. This review has critically mentioned the fact that motivation and achievement cannot be enhanced by isolated interventions, but it necessitates a holistic, student-based learning where autonomy, competence, and relatedness are inculcated. Any teaching/learning strategy should be in line with the real world experiences of the students and provide an opportunity in which the students experience intrinsic motivation, resilience, and engagement in meaningful, relevant and even challenging situations.

Moreover, this literature review highlights the role and significance of the reflective and critical approach of educators to the implementation of such strategies as they should be aware of the possible drawbacks and unintended use of intervention due to their wrong implementation. In further research and practice, it is worth abandoning the popular tendency of superficiality in implementation and consider the sustainable integration of motivational actions such as giving priority to equity, inclusion and, in the long-run, developing the cognitive and emotional processes. Through the creation of positive conditions that will take into account the overall needs of students, both psychological and academic, teachers will also be able to facilitate upbringing of lifelong learners who will not be affected by the motivational sources outside their learning process only, but will be driven by their inner desire to learn and to succeed.

REFERENCES

Afzal, A., Khan, S., Daud, S., Ahmad, Z., & Butt, A. (2023). Addressing the digital

divide: Access and use of technology in education. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, *3*(2), 883-895. http://dx.doi.org/10.54183/jssr.v3i2.326

Autin, K. L., Herdt, M. E., Garcia, R. G., & Ezema, G. N. (2022). Basic psychological need satisfaction, autonomous motivation, and meaningful work: a self-determination theory perspective. *Journal of Career Assessment*, *30*(1), 78-93. https://doi.org/10.1177/10690727211018647

Barber, A. T., & Klauda, S. L. (2020). How reading motivation and engagement enable reading achievement: Policy implications. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *7*(1), 27-34. https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732219893385

Brown, D. R. (2024). *Exploring Teachers' Perceptions of the Impact of Digital Game-Based Learning on Student Engagement, Motivation, and Learning Outcomes in K-12 Social Studies* (Master's thesis, Florida Atlantic University).

Dah, J., Hussin, N., Zaini, M. K., Isaac Helda, L., Senanu Ametefe, D., & Adozuka Aliu, A. (2024). Gamification is not working: Why?. *Games and Culture*, 15554120241228125. http://dx.doi.org/10.54183/jssr.v3i2.326

Estrada, M., Monferrer, D., Rodriguez, A., & Moliner, M. Á. (2021). Does emotional intelligence influence academic performance? The role of compassion and engagement in education for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 1721. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041721

Fishbach, A., & Woolley, K. (2022). The structure of intrinsic motivation. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, *9*, 339-363. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012420-091122

George, A. S., Baskar, T., & Srikaanth, P. B. (2024). The erosion of cognitive skills in the technological age: How reliance on technology impacts critical thinking, problem-solving, and creativity. *Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication*, *2*(3), 147-163. http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11671150

Grenier, S., Gagné, M., & O'Neill, T. (2024). Self-determination theory and its implications for team motivation. *Applied Psychology*, *73*(4), 1833-1865. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apps.12526

Hadi Mogavi, R., Guo, B., Zhang, Y., Haq, E. U., Hui, P., & Ma, X. (2022, June). When gamification spoils your learning: A qualitative case study of gamification misuse in a language-learning app. In *Proceedings of the ninth ACM conference on learning@ scale* (pp. 175-188). http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3491140.3528274

Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, *3*, 275-285. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004

Hughes, S., & Lewis, H. (2020). Tensions in current curriculum reform and the development of teachers' professional autonomy. *The curriculum journal*, *31*(2), 290-302. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/curj.25

Keele, E. L. (2024). *Community College Adult Learners' Perceptions of the Influence of Classroom Mobile Technology on Emotional Engagement* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).

Kroeper, K. M., Fried, A. C., & Murphy, M. C. (2022). Towards fostering growth mindset classrooms: Identifying teaching behaviors that signal instructors' fixed and growth mindsets beliefs to students. *Social Psychology of Education*, *25*(2), 371-398. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1007/s11218-

022-09689-4

Markowski, M., Bower, H., Essex, R., & Yearley, C. (2021). Peer learning and collaborative placement models in health care: a systematic review and qualitative synthesis of the literature. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *30*(11-12), 1519-1541. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15661

McHaney, R. (2023). *The new digital shoreline: How Web 2.0 and millennials are revolutionizing higher education*. Taylor & Francis.

Muhammad Fuad, M. F., Edi Suyanto, E. S., & Ulul, A. M. (2021). Can 'reward and punishment'improve student motivation?. *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, *10*(1), 165-171.

Muthmainnah, Ibna Seraj, P. M., & Oteir, I. (2022). Playing with AI to investigate human-computer interaction technology and improving critical thinking skills to pursue 21st century age. *Education Research International*, *2022*(1), 6468995. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2022/6468995

Ntoumanis, N., Ng, J. Y., Prestwich, A., Quested, E., Hancox, J. E., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., ... & Williams, G. C. (2021). A meta-analysis of self-determination theory-informed intervention studies in the health domain: effects on motivation, health behavior, physical, and psychological health. *Health psychology review*, *15*(2), 214-244. https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2020.1718529

Shorey, S., Chan, V., Rajendran, P., & Ang, E. (2021). Learning styles, preferences and needs of generation Z healthcare students: Scoping review. *Nurse education in practice*, *57*, 103247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103247

Sides, J. D., & Cuevas, J. A. (2020). Effect of goal setting for motivation, self-Efficacy, and performance in Elementary mathematics. *International Journal of Instruction*, *13*(4), 1-16. http://dx.doi.org/10.29333/iji.2020.1341a

Simamora, B. (2021). Toward a general theory of consumer motivation: A critical review. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, *18*, 418. http://dx.doi.org/10.22437/jbsmr.v4i2.12264

Upadhyaya, H. (2024). *Digital Education And Economic Transformation: Bridging The Gap*. Meadow Publication.

Villalobos-Zúñiga, G., Rodríguez, I., Fedosov, A., & Cherubini, M. (2021, September). Informed choices, progress monitoring and comparison with peers: features to support the autonomy, competence and relatedness needs, as suggested by the self-determination theory. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Mobile Human-Computer Interaction* (pp. 1-14). http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3447526.3472039

Wolcott, M. D., McLaughlin, J. E., Hann, A., Miklavec, A., Beck Dallaghan, G. L., Rhoney, D. H., & Zomorodi, M. (2021). A review to characterise and map the growth mindset theory in health professions education. *Medical Education*, *55*(4), 430-440. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.14381