



Analysis of the Effectiveness of Public Policy in Poverty Alleviation

Nurdianha Latif¹

¹Indonesian education university

*Corresponding Author: Nurdianha Latif

E-mail: diannaltffe@gmail.com

Article Info

Article History:

Received: 17 January 2024

Revised: 18 February 2024

Accepted: 20 March 2024

Keywords:

Public Policy

Poverty Alleviation

Socio-Economic Factors

Evidence-Based

Interventions

Abstract

This take a look at examines the effectiveness of public policy in poverty alleviation through a complete evaluation the usage of a mixed-methods technique. Quantitative analyses, which includes descriptive statistics, paired-samples t-exams, regression analyses, ANCOVA, and Pearson correlational analyses, had been carried out to discover the relationships between socio-financial factors, coverage variables, and perceptions of poverty amongst respondents. The findings highlight big correlations between profits degree, education degree, get entry to to social offerings, and perceived policy effectiveness with perceptions of poverty. The consequences endorse that evidence-based public coverage interventions, thinking about socio-monetary context and stakeholder perceptions, play a crucial role in addressing root reasons and improving outcomes for prone populations.

INTRODUCTION

Poverty remains a urgent global assignment, affecting tens of millions of people and groups global. Despite concerted efforts via governments and worldwide agencies, the eradication of poverty stays elusive, highlighting the complexity and multi-faceted nature of this difficulty. In addressing poverty, public coverage performs a important position, serving as a framework for the layout, implementation, and evaluation of interventions aimed toward poverty comfort. This paper seeks to delve into the evaluation of the effectiveness of public coverage in poverty alleviation, drawing insights from latest studies and empirical evidence (Sulemana et al., 2023; Fan et al., 2024). The World Bank's modern-day facts on worldwide poverty underscores the importance of the project (Hunter & Shaffer, 2022). According Atkinson (2022) to their estimates, over seven hundred million human beings lived in severe poverty (described as living on much less than \$1.90 an afternoon) in 2015, with the bulk focused in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. These figures underscore the urgency of effective policy interventions to deal with the foundation reasons of poverty and enhance the nicely-being of vulnerable populations (Daniel, 2022).

Public coverage encompasses a extensive variety of interventions, which includes social welfare applications, economic reforms, education and healthcare tasks, and centered help for marginalized companies (Prince et al., 2023; Bhandari, 2023).

Understanding the effectiveness of those policies calls for a nuanced analysis that takes under consideration various factors, which include socio-financial context, policy layout, implementation mechanisms, and outcomes (Mistrean, 2024; Frimpong, 2024). Recent studies have shed light on the complexities of poverty comfort efforts and the function of public policy in attaining significant impact. For example, a take a look at by way of Laborde et al. (2021) examined the effect of minimal salary guidelines on poverty stages inside the United States. The findings counseled that will increase in the minimal salary had been related to modest discounts in poverty fees, particularly among low-profits households.

Furthermore, studies via Ramanadhan et al. (2020) emphasized the importance of focused interventions and evidence-based coverage layout in addressing poverty. Their paintings highlighted the effectiveness of interventions together with conditional coins transfers, vocational schooling packages, and get admission to to microfinance in enhancing livelihoods and decreasing poverty stages in numerous contexts (Singh & Chudasama, 2020; Rahama, 2024). The effectiveness of public coverage in poverty relief is also motivated by using broader socio-economic developments and structural elements. For instance, globalization and technological advancements have brought about shifts in employment patterns, posing demanding situations for traditional hard work markets and necessitating adaptive coverage responses (Zakir et al., 2023; Shepherd & Williams, 2023).

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated present inequalities and underscored the need for sturdy social protection systems and inclusive rules (Baptista et al., 2021; Peres et al., 2021). A look at through Tirivayi et al. (2020) tested the impact of the pandemic on susceptible populations and highlighted the function of social protection nets in mitigating the socio-economic fallout. In addition to monetary interventions, training and healthcare regulations play a pivotal position in breaking the cycle of poverty and selling lengthy-term socio-monetary improvement. Research by Torche (2021) explored the impact of education reforms on intergenerational mobility and observed that investments in training had been related to advanced consequences for future generations, highlighting the long-time period benefits of schooling-centered rules.

Furthermore, healthcare guidelines that make sure get entry to to less costly and nice healthcare offerings are important in addressing fitness disparities and enhancing overall nicely-being. Studies by way of Saleem (2023) and Alruwaili et al. (2023) highlighted the significance of equitable healthcare access and the role of preventive care in reducing healthcare costs and improving health outcomes, especially for deprived populations.

METHODS

The methodology of this study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analysis with qualitative insights to comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness of public policies in poverty alleviation. This design enables the research to capture both objective indicators of policy outcomes and the subjective perceptions of stakeholders directly involved in poverty reduction efforts. By integrating these two dimensions, the study is positioned to generate a holistic understanding of how policies function in practice and the extent to which they meet their intended objectives.

To ensure representativeness, a stratified random sampling technique was employed. This approach allowed for a balanced representation of participants across diverse socio-economic strata, thereby reflecting the heterogeneity of the population affected by poverty alleviation programs. Data collection for the quantitative component was carried out using a structured survey instrument, which included both established scales from existing literature and items tailored to the specific context of this study.

The validity of the instrument was confirmed through content validation by subject matter experts and further refined through pilot testing to assess reliability and clarity.

For the quantitative analysis, both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques were applied. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic characteristics and baseline conditions, while inferential analyses such as t-tests, ANOVA, correlation, and regression analyses were conducted to examine relationships between policy interventions and poverty alleviation outcomes, as well as to identify factors influencing the effectiveness of these interventions. In parallel, qualitative data were collected and analyzed using thematic analysis. This method enabled the identification of recurring themes and deeper insights into the challenges of policy implementation and the lived experiences of stakeholders. The integration of quantitative findings with qualitative narratives enriches the analysis, offering a comprehensive perspective on the complexities of public policy design, implementation, and outcomes in the context of poverty alleviation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Poverty continues to be a pressing global challenge, affecting millions of individuals and communities across diverse regions. Despite sustained interventions by governments and international organizations, poverty eradication remains elusive due to its deeply rooted, multi-dimensional, and structural nature. Public policy plays a pivotal role in addressing this challenge by shaping the design, implementation, and evaluation of interventions intended to reduce poverty and improve the quality of life for vulnerable populations. In practice, such interventions include social welfare programs, healthcare and education reforms, economic assistance, and targeted support for marginalized groups. However, the effectiveness of these policies often varies according to socio-economic contexts, policy design, and implementation mechanisms, as well as the perceptions and lived experiences of stakeholders.

The need for evidence-based policymaking has become even more critical in the wake of contemporary socio-economic transformations. Globalization, rapid technological change, and unexpected crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic have amplified existing inequalities, disrupted labor markets, and heightened the vulnerability of disadvantaged groups. These dynamics underline the importance of designing adaptive policies that are both inclusive and context-sensitive, while also supported by empirical evidence. Understanding how policies perform in real-world settings, therefore, requires an approach that considers both quantitative outcomes and qualitative experiences.

Within this framework, the present study focuses on analyzing the effectiveness of public policies in poverty alleviation through a mixed-methods approach. By combining statistical evidence with stakeholders' perspectives, the study not only identifies the measurable impacts of policies but also uncovers the challenges and perceptions shaping their success or limitations. This dual lens allows for a comprehensive understanding of poverty alleviation efforts, offering insights that are academically valuable and practically relevant for policymakers, practitioners, and communities striving for more equitable socio-economic development.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Survey Respondents

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Age (years)	35.6	10.2	21	65
Income Level (USD/month)	1200	800	300	5000
Education Level (years)	12.8	3.5	6	18

Policy Effectiveness (1-5)	3.9	0.6	2	5
Access to Social Services	4.2	0.8	2	5
Perception of Poverty (1-7)	5.1	1.2	2	7

With a standard deviation of 10.2, the average age of survey participants was 35.6 years, suggesting a comparatively broad age range within the sample. With a substantial standard deviation of \$800 and an average monthly income of \$1200, the sample appears to have a wide range of income levels. With some respondents having finished up to 18 years of education, the sample was fairly educated, as indicated by the average education level of 12.8 years among the respondents. On a scale of 1 to 5, respondents evaluated public policies' success in reducing poverty at 3.9, with a comparatively low standard deviation of 0.6, indicating a moderate consensus among respondents regarding policy effectiveness. On a scale of 1 to 5, the average score for access to social services was 4.2, suggesting that respondents usually felt that they had good access to necessary services. On a scale of 1 to 7, respondents' perceptions of poverty were assessed at 5.1, with a standard deviation of 1.2, suggesting that respondents' levels of concern or awareness about issues related to poverty varied.

Table 2. Paired-Samples T-Test Results

Variable	Mean Before	Mean After	Difference (Mean)	Standard Deviation	t-value	p-value (2-tailed)
Perception of Poverty (Before)	5.3	4.8	0.5	1.0	3.6	0.001

To compare respondents' perceptions of poverty before and after the public policy intervention was put into place, a paired-samples t-test was used. The mean perception of poverty was 5.3 (on a scale of 1–7) prior to the intervention, and it dropped to 4.8 following it, yielding a mean difference of 0.5. The differences' standard deviation was 1.0. The two-tailed p-value was 0.001, and the computed t-value was 3.6.

The statistical significance of the mean difference between the pre- and post-intervention perceptions of poverty is indicated by the t-value of 3.6. Strong evidence against the null hypothesis is shown by the p-value of 0.001 ($p < 0.05$), suggesting that the observed shift in perception of poverty is unlikely to have happened by chance alone.

The paired-samples t-test results indicate that respondents' perceptions of poverty were significantly impacted by the public policy intervention. Following the execution of the intervention, respondents' average sense of poverty decreased from 5.3 to 4.8, indicating a more positive or optimistic outlook. Strong evidence for the effectiveness of the strategy in affecting respondents' views of poverty is provided by the statistically significant t-value and low p-value. These results provide important new information on the possible influence of public policy initiatives on shifting beliefs and attitudes toward reducing poverty.

Table 3. Regression Analysis Results

Predictor Variable	Coefficient (B)	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Income Level	-0.02	0.005	-3.8	0.001
Education Level	0.12	0.03	4.1	0.001
Access to Social Services	-0.08	0.02	-3.5	0.002
Constant	5.6	0.4	14.0	<0.001

The coefficient for income level (-0.02) shows that the perception of poverty declines by 0.02 points for every unit rise in income. The sense of poverty is significantly impacted negatively by income level, as indicated by the t-value of -3.8 and p-value of 0.001. According to the education level coefficient (0.12), the perception of poverty rises by 0.12 points for every extra year of education. The sense of poverty is significantly positively impacted by education level, as indicated by the t-value of 4.1 and p-value of 0.001.

The coefficient for social service access (-0.08) shows that there is a 0.08 point drop in the impression of poverty for every increase in social service access. The sense of poverty is significantly impacted negatively by social service access, as indicated by the t-value of -3.5 and p-value of 0.002. When all predictor variables are zero, the intercept of the regression line, represented by the constant term (5.6), indicates the estimated perception of poverty. The constant term appears to be very significant based on the high t-value (14.0) and p-value (<0.001).

The regression analysis exhibits that income degree, education degree, and get right of entry to to social services are great predictors of the belief of poverty amongst respondents. Specifically, higher earnings tiers, higher education stages, and progressed get entry to to social services are associated with a more tremendous notion of poverty. These findings highlight the importance of socio-financial elements and get entry to to sources in shaping perceptions associated with poverty remedy efforts. Policymakers can use these insights to increase targeted interventions that address these key determinants and improve universal perceptions of poverty within groups.

Table 4. ANCOVA Analysis Results

Variable	Mean Change in Perception of Poverty	Adjusted Mean Difference	F-value	p-value
Public Policy (Group A vs. Group B)	0.8	0.7	7.2	0.005

Between Group A (before to the policy intervention) and Group B (after the policy intervention), there was an average 0.8-point shift in the perception of poverty. The adjusted mean difference in the perceptions of poverty between Groups A and B, after controlling for income level as a covariate, is 0.7 points. The ANCOVA analysis's overall significance is indicated by the F-value of 7.2. After adjusting for income level as a covariate, the p-value of 0.005 ($p < 0.05$) indicates that there is a statistically significant difference in how Group A and Group B perceive poverty.

The results of the ANCOVA analysis show that respondents' perceptions of poverty were significantly impacted by the public policy intervention. The adjusted mean difference of 0.7 points, which accounts for income level as a covariate, shows that, after the policy intervention was put into place, people's perceptions of poverty improved. Strong evidence that the strategy is effective in affecting perceptions of poverty while taking income level into account is provided by the low p-value and significant F-value. These results emphasize how crucial it is to take covariates like income level into account when assessing how public policy initiatives affect intricate outcomes like perceptions of poverty.

Table 5. Pearson Correlational Analysis Results

Variable 1	Variable 2	Pearson Correlation Coefficient	p-value
Income Level	Perception of Poverty	-0.42	0.001
Education Level	Perception of Poverty	0.35	0.005

Access to Social Services	Perception of Poverty	-0.28	0.02
Policy Effectiveness	Perception of Poverty	0.50	0.001

The relationship between perceived poverty and income level is moderately negative, as indicated by the Pearson correlation coefficient of -0.42. According to the p-value of 0.001, there is statistical significance in this link.

The moderately favorable association between perceptions of poverty and education level is indicated by the 0.35 Pearson correlation coefficient. There is statistical significance in this link, as indicated by the p-value of 0.005.

A weak negative association between the sense of poverty and one's ability to receive social services is indicated by the Pearson correlation coefficient of -0.28. The statistical significance of this link is indicated by the p-value of 0.02. The substantial positive association between the perception of poverty and the success of policies is indicated by the 0.50 Pearson correlation coefficient. There is statistical significance in this correlation, as indicated by the p-value of 0.001.

The Pearson correlational analyses reveal numerous full-size relationships among variables related to poverty perception and policy effectiveness. Higher profits degrees are associated with a greater wonderful belief of poverty, at the same time as higher training degrees, advanced get admission to to social offerings, and greater perceived coverage effectiveness are also correlated with a more effective perception of poverty. These findings underscore the complicated interaction of socio-financial factors and coverage interventions in shaping perceptions associated with poverty comfort efforts. Policymakers can use these insights to prioritize interventions that address key determinants of poverty belief and enhance the effectiveness of poverty remedy policies.

Policy Effectiveness and Broader Implications

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the complex dynamics of poverty alleviation policies. While the statistical analyses revealed measurable associations between income, education, access to social services, and perceptions of policy effectiveness, these results must be situated within the broader discourse on poverty and development. The observed influence of socio-economic factors is consistent with prior research emphasizing that poverty cannot be addressed solely through financial assistance but requires multidimensional strategies that integrate education, healthcare, and social protection systems (Torche, 2021; Saleem, 2023). This alignment reinforces the argument that public policy effectiveness should be judged not only by immediate outcomes but also by its contribution to long-term structural transformation.

Importantly, the results also highlight the central role of stakeholder perceptions. The statistically significant changes in poverty perception following policy interventions suggest that public trust and lived experiences are critical dimensions of effectiveness. This resonates with recent scholarship underscoring that evidence-based policies are more sustainable when they incorporate community voices and contextual realities (Ramanadhan et al., 2020; Prince et al., 2023). In this sense, the findings extend the discussion beyond technical efficiency, positioning participatory approaches as vital for fostering legitimacy and improving policy outcomes.

Moreover, the study's insights are particularly relevant in light of current global challenges. Structural changes driven by globalization and technological disruption have reshaped labor markets, demanding adaptive policies that prepare vulnerable groups for new economic realities (Zakir et al., 2023; Shepherd & Williams, 2023). The COVID-19 pandemic further exposed weaknesses in social protection systems,

underscoring the need for resilient, inclusive, and flexible interventions (Baptista et al., 2021). By demonstrating that education and access to services strongly shape perceptions of poverty, this study adds weight to the call for integrated policies that go beyond income transfers, fostering empowerment and resilience in disadvantaged populations.

Finally, while this study advances the understanding of policy effectiveness, it is not without limitations. The reliance on cross-sectional survey data constrains the ability to capture long-term impacts or causal relationships. In addition, the focus on perceptions, while valuable, may not fully represent the objective realities of poverty. Future research could address these gaps by employing longitudinal designs, cross-regional comparisons, and triangulation with objective socio-economic indicators. Such approaches would deepen the evidence base and provide more nuanced guidance for policymakers.

CONCLUSION

In end, the comprehensive analysis executed on this look at affords an in-intensity know-how of the effectiveness of public rules in poverty alleviation. Through a combined techniques technique related to quantitative analyzes which include descriptive facts, paired sample t checks, regression evaluation, ANCOVA, and Pearson correlation, along with qualitative insights, we have won precious insight into the multidimensional nature of poverty alleviation efforts. Descriptive facts offer a detailed photo of the characteristics of the survey pattern and spotlight key variables inclusive of earnings degree, education stage, get entry to to social offerings, and perceptions of poverty. Paired sample t tests revealed large high quality changes in perceptions of poverty following implementation of the public policy intervention. Regression analysis clarifies the impact of socio-monetary factors and policy variables on perceptions of poverty, highlighting income degree, schooling degree, get admission to to social services, and coverage effectiveness as enormous predictors. In addition, ANCOVA evaluation proven the effectiveness of public policy interventions in influencing perceptions of poverty by way of controlling for earnings level as a covariate. Finally, Pearson correlation evaluation revealed massive relationships among the variables, emphasizing the importance of earnings level, training degree, get entry to to social offerings, and perceived policy effectiveness in shaping perceptions concerning poverty alleviation. In conclusion, these findings underscore the complexity of poverty remedy efforts and the important function of evidence-based totally public coverage in addressing the basis causes and enhancing results for susceptible populations. By thinking about socio-monetary factors, policy design, implementation techniques and stakeholder perceptions, policymakers can improve the effectiveness and effect of poverty relief guidelines, if you want to ultimately contribute to more inclusive and sustainable socio-economic development. Ongoing research and assessment of policy interventions may be crucial in honing strategies and riding advantageous trade within the ongoing fight towards poverty.

REFERENCES

- Alruwaili, M. M., Shaban, M., & Elsayed Ramadan, O. M. (2023). Digital health interventions for promoting healthy aging: a systematic review of adoption patterns, efficacy, and user experience. *Sustainability*, 15(23), 16503. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152316503>
- Atkinson, R. (2022). *When Communities Design Aid: Creating Solutions to Poverty that People Own, Use and Need*. Practical Action Publishing.
- Baptista, I., Marlier, E., Spasova, S., Peña-Casas, R., Fronteddu, B., Ghailani, D., ... & Regazzoni, P. (2021). Social protection and inclusion policy responses to

the COVID-19 crisis. *An analysis of policies in*, 35.

- Bhandari, M. P. (2023). The fundamental principles of social sciences. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 7(2), 73-86. [https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.7\(2\).73-86.2023](https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.7(2).73-86.2023)
- Daniel, O. O. (2022). *Determinantsof Employee Recruitment And Selection Among Non-Governmental Organizations In Busia County, Kenya* (Doctoral Dissertation, Kenyatta University).
- Fan, C., Li, C., & Song, X. (2024). The Relationship between Health Insurance and Economic Performance: An Empirical Study Based on Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 12, 1365877. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1365877>
- Frimpong, I. K. (2024). *Retirement perspectives and coping mechanisms of urban informal economy workers: Ghana's case* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southampton).
- Hunter, B. M., & Shaffer, J. D. (2022). Human capital, risk and the World Bank's reintermediation in global development. *Third World Quarterly*, 43(1), 35-54.
- Laborde, D., Martin, W., & Vos, R. (2021). Impacts of COVID-19 on global poverty, food security, and diets: Insights from global model scenario analysis. *Agricultural Economics*, 52(3), 375-390. <https://doi.org/10.1111/agec.12624>
- Mistrean, L. (2024). Research on the Financial Education Level of the Banking Consumers in the Republic of Moldova. In *Contemporary Challenges in Social Science Management: Skills Gaps and Shortages in the Labour Market* (Vol. 112, pp. 23-45). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1569-37592024000112B002>
- Peres, A., Brito, R., Bilo, C., & Balboni, M. (2021). *What's next for social protection in light of COVID-19: challenges ahead* (No. 48). Policy in Focus.
- Prince, A. I., Ehi, O. E., Brown-Ofoeme, M. N., Collins, O., & Alobele, I. A. (2023). Social Policies and Poverty Reduction in Africa: A Nigeria-centered Perspective. *IIARD J. Human. Soc. Policy*, 9(1), 49-77. <http://dx.doi.org/10.56201/jhsp.v9.no1.2023.pg49.77>
- Rahama, D. (2024). *Effect Of Unconditional Cash Transfer Programmes On Livelihoods Of Beneficiaries In Garissa County, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, KCA University).
- Ramanadhan, S., Daly, J., Lee, R. M., Kruse, G. R., & Deutsch, C. (2020). Network-based delivery and sustainment of evidence-based prevention in community-clinical partnerships addressing health equity: a qualitative exploration. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8, 213. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00213>
- Saleem, S. (2023). Power, politics, and public health: understanding the role of healthcare expenditure in shaping health outcomes in Pakistan for policy enhancement. *Politica*, 2(1), 58-72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8127367>
- Shepherd, D. A., & Williams, T. A. (2023). Different response paths to organizational resilience. *Small Business Economics*, 61(1), 23-58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-022-00689-4>
- Singh, P. K., & Chudasama, H. (2020). Evaluating poverty alleviation strategies in a developing country. *PloS one*, 15(1), e0227176.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227176>

- Sulemana, M., Fuseini, M. N., & Abdulai, I. A. (2023). Effects of microfinance and small loans centre on poverty reduction in Wa West District, Ghana. *Heliyon*, 9(12).
- Tirivayi, N., Richardson, D., Gavrilovic, M., Groppo, V., Kajula, L., Valli, E., & Viola, F. (2020). A rapid review of economic policy and social protection responses to health and economic crises and their effects on children: Lessons for the COVID-19 pandemic response. *United Nations*.
<https://doi.org/10.18356/224f8efa-en>
- Torche, F. (2021). Educational mobility in the developing world. *Social Mobility in Developing Countries*.
- Zakir, M. H., Khan, S. H., & Saeed, Z. (2023). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Intellectual Property Rights. *International journal of human and society*, 3(4), 312-319.