

Bureaucratic Reform Strategy to Realize Quality Digital Public Services

Zulfan Nahrudin

STISIP Bina Generasi Polewali Mandar, Indonesia

Course Number: zulfan.nahrudin@gmail.com

Received: 14 August 2024; Revised: 21 Sept 2024; Accepted: 24 October 2024

Abstract

This qualitative study explores the strategies, challenges, and stakeholder perceptions related to bureaucratic reform aimed at improving digital public services. Through in-depth interviews with key stakeholders, including government officials and IT professionals, the research identifies effective strategies for implementing digital reforms, such as fostering inclusive participation and addressing resistance to change. The findings reveal significant challenges, including organizational inertia and the need for clear evaluation metrics, which hinder the successful delivery of digital services. Furthermore, stakeholder perceptions indicate a strong belief in the potential of digital public services to enhance accessibility, efficiency, and transparency in public administration. However, without addressing the underlying barriers and involving diverse stakeholders in the reform process, the effectiveness of these initiatives may be compromised. This study contributes to existing literature by highlighting the importance of understanding human factors in the digital transformation of public services and emphasizes the necessity of developing a culture of collaboration within public agencies. The insights gained from this research provide valuable guidance for policymakers and practitioners aiming to navigate the complexities of digital reform in the public sector, ultimately enhancing service delivery and public trust.

Keywords: Bureaucratic reform, Digital public services, Stakeholder perceptions, Resistance to change, Public administration

Introduction

In recent years, digital transformation has emerged as a critical priority for public administration, with many governments worldwide adopting reforms to improve the quality of public services through digitalization. The shift from traditional bureaucratic models to digitally enhanced public services represents a fundamental reimagining of how governments interact with their citizens, emphasizing efficiency, accessibility, and responsiveness. The process of bureaucratic reform, which includes restructuring government operations to reduce inefficiencies and improve service delivery, is pivotal in realizing the full potential of digital public services. This study investigates the bureaucratic reform strategies necessary to realize quality digital public services, focusing on

how governments can successfully navigate the challenges of transitioning to digital platforms while ensuring equitable access and maintaining service quality.

The traditional bureaucratic model, as defined by Max Weber, is characterized by hierarchical structures, fixed rules, and an emphasis on authority and control (Sager & Rosser, 2021). While this model has served governments well in the past, its rigid structure often hinders innovation and adaptability, particularly in the digital age (Kaur et al., 2022). Bureaucratic reforms, therefore, seek to address these limitations by creating more flexible, decentralized, and responsive governance systems. The shift towards digital public services is an integral part of these reforms, aiming to improve service delivery by leveraging technology to enhance transparency, accessibility, and efficiency (Maulana et al., 2022).

The adoption of digital technologies in public administration is often linked to the principles of New Public Management (NPM), which advocates for a more business-like approach to governance, emphasizing efficiency, performance measurement, and customer satisfaction (Klochan et al., 2021). In the context of digital public services, these principles manifest in the deployment of e-government platforms, which streamline administrative processes, reduce bureaucratic delays, and improve access to services (Chan et al., 2025). countries like Estonia and Singapore have pioneered digital governance models that significantly reduce administrative burdens and offer seamless, user-friendly public services (Diaz, 2021).

Despite the potential benefits of digital public services, the implementation of such reforms is fraught with challenges. One of the primary obstacles is resistance to change within public institutions. Bureaucratic inertia, defined as the reluctance of institutions to adopt new methods or technologies, remains a significant barrier to reform. Many public officials are accustomed to traditional, paper-based processes and may lack the skills or motivation to transition to digital platforms (AbdulKareem et al., 2020). Training and capacity-building are, therefore, essential components of any successful bureaucratic reform strategy, ensuring that public servants are equipped with the skills needed to manage digital services effectively.

Another challenge is the digital divide, which refers to the gap between those who have access to digital technologies and those who do not (Aissaoui, 2022). In many countries, particularly in rural or underserved areas, citizens may lack access to the internet or the necessary digital literacy to navigate online services. This creates a paradox: while digital public services are designed to increase accessibility, they may inadvertently exclude vulnerable populations, exacerbating existing inequalities. Governments must therefore ensure that digital reforms are inclusive, providing alternative access points for those without digital resources.

To overcome these challenges, governments must adopt a strategic approach to bureaucratic reform that includes both technological innovation and organizational change. A key strategy is the integration of change management principles into the reform process. Change management involves preparing, supporting, and helping individuals and organizations to adopt new technologies or processes. In the context of digital public services, this might involve extensive training programs for public officials, as well as the development of user-friendly platforms that minimize disruption to existing workflows.

Moreover, leadership plays a crucial role in driving reform efforts. effective leadership is essential for overcoming resistance to change and ensuring that digital reforms are implemented

successfully. Leaders must communicate the benefits of digital transformation clearly, create a culture of innovation, and incentivize public servants to embrace new technologies. In countries like South Korea, strong government leadership has been instrumental in the rapid development of its e-government system, which is now regarded as one of the most advanced in the world.

In addition to leadership and change management, policy and regulatory frameworks are essential to the success of bureaucratic reform strategies. Governments must create clear policies that guide the development and implementation of digital public services, ensuring that they are aligned with broader governance goals. This includes data protection regulations, which are critical for maintaining public trust in digital services. In the European Union, for instance, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) has set a high standard for data privacy, ensuring that citizens' personal information is protected in all digital interactions with the government.

Methodology

This qualitative study aimed to explore bureaucratic reform strategies for realizing quality digital public services. The research was conducted in two main phases: data collection and data analysis. A combination of semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions was employed to capture the perspectives of key stakeholders involved in the reform process.

The study utilized a purposive sampling strategy to select participants with relevant experience and expertise in the field of digital public services and bureaucratic reform. Participants were chosen from three main categories:

Government Officials: This group included policymakers and administrators responsible for implementing digital public service reforms at various governmental levels.

IT Professionals: Participants in this category were individuals involved in the design, development, and deployment of digital platforms for public services.

End-Users: This group comprised citizens and stakeholders who directly utilize digital public services and can provide insights into their experiences and perceptions.

A total of 20 participants were recruited, ensuring a diverse representation of views across these categories.

A total of 15 semi-structured interviews were conducted with participants from the three categories. The interviews lasted approximately 45 to 60 minutes each and were held in locations selected by the participants to ensure their comfort.

An interview guide was developed based on a comprehensive review of the literature on bureaucratic reform and digital public services. The guide included open-ended questions designed to explore key themes such as motivations for reform, perceived challenges, strategies employed, and outcomes observed.

The interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent and subsequently transcribed verbatim for analysis.

Two focus group discussions were organized, each consisting of 6 to 8 participants. These discussions aimed to foster interactive dialogue and explore collective insights on bureaucratic reform strategies and digital service delivery.

The focus groups were moderated by the researcher, who facilitated the discussions to encourage participation and ensure that all voices were heard. Key themes explored during the discussions included participants' experiences with digital public services and the effectiveness of current reform strategies.

Like the interviews, focus group discussions were audio-recorded, and notes were taken to capture non-verbal cues and group dynamics.

The data collected from both the interviews and focus groups were subjected to thematic analysis, following the six-phase framework established by Braun and Clarke (2006). This systematic approach involved the following steps:

The researcher began by reading and re-reading the transcribed data to develop a thorough understanding of the content. This initial phase involved taking preliminary notes to capture first impressions and ideas.

The researcher generated initial codes by identifying significant segments of data that captured key aspects of the participants' experiences. This coding process was both inductive and deductive, allowing for the emergence of themes grounded in the data while also referencing the existing literature.

The researcher grouped the initial codes into potential themes, examining how different codes could be combined to reflect broader patterns within the data. This involved creating visual representations of the relationships between codes and themes.

The identified themes were reviewed against the dataset to ensure they accurately reflected the participants' perspectives. This review included re-reading the transcripts and focusing on the thematic content to verify consistency and coherence.

Each theme was clearly defined and named to capture the essence of the insights shared by participants. Detailed descriptions of each theme were written to provide a comprehensive understanding of the findings and ensure clarity in reporting.

The final stage involved synthesizing the thematic analysis into a coherent narrative. The researcher presented the findings, supported by direct quotations from participants to illustrate key points and enrich the analysis.

Results and Discussion

The findings of this qualitative study provide valuable insights into the bureaucratic reform strategies necessary for realizing quality digital public services. Through semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions with key stakeholders, including government officials, IT professionals, and end-users, the research captured a diverse array of perspectives on the challenges and opportunities inherent in the digital transformation of public administration. The analysis revealed several key themes related to the motivations for reform, the perceived barriers to effective implementation, and the strategies that have proven successful in enhancing service delivery. This section presents the results of the study, highlighting the core themes and illustrating them with direct quotations from participants to enrich the narrative and emphasize the lived experiences that informed the findings.

Strategies for Bureaucratic Reform in Digital Public Services

The analysis of the qualitative data revealed several key strategies for bureaucratic reform aimed at enhancing digital public services. These strategies encompass a range of approaches that address the complexities of digital transformation in public administration. The themes identified through the interviews and focus group discussions include stakeholder engagement, capacity building, technology integration, policy alignment, and continuous evaluation.

Engaging stakeholders effectively emerged as a fundamental strategy for successful bureaucratic reform in digital public services. Participants emphasized the importance of involving various stakeholders' government officials, IT professionals, and end-users in the reform process to ensure that diverse perspectives and needs are considered. One government official highlighted,

“Involving citizens early on helps us understand their needs better; it ensures that the services we develop are user-friendly and relevant.”

This proactive engagement fosters a sense of ownership among stakeholders, enhancing their commitment to the reform initiatives. Additionally, the involvement of end-users in the design and evaluation phases of digital services allows for the identification of potential barriers to adoption and ensures that services are tailored to meet the actual needs of the public.

Capacity building was identified as a critical strategy for equipping public sector employees with the skills and knowledge necessary to implement and sustain digital public services effectively. Participants underscored the necessity of training programs that focus on both technical skills and change management. An IT professional noted,

“Without proper training, even the best technology will fail. We need to invest in our people to ensure they can use these tools effectively.”

Such training initiatives not only enhance employees' technical competencies but also cultivate a culture of innovation and adaptability within public sector organizations. By empowering employees through continuous professional development, public agencies can better navigate the complexities of digital transformation and respond to emerging challenges.

Successful integration of technology into existing bureaucratic structures was another crucial strategy identified by participants. This integration involves aligning new digital tools with established processes to streamline service delivery and improve efficiency. One participant mentioned,

“It’s not just about having the latest technology; it’s about making sure it fits into how we work. We need to rethink our processes to take full advantage of digital solutions.”

Effective technology integration requires a thorough analysis of existing workflows and the identification of areas where digital tools can enhance performance. By focusing on user-friendly technologies and ensuring that they are compatible with existing systems, public agencies can facilitate smoother transitions to digital platforms and minimize disruptions in service delivery.

Aligning policies and regulations with the objectives of digital public service reform is essential for creating an enabling environment for change. Participants highlighted the need for clear policy frameworks that support digital initiatives and encourage innovation. A government official stated,

“We need policies that not only allow for digital transformation but actively promote it. If we’re stuck in old regulations, we can’t move forward.”

Such alignment involves revisiting existing policies to remove barriers to digital innovation and developing new regulations that support the use of emerging technologies in public service delivery. By fostering a regulatory environment that encourages experimentation and collaboration, public agencies can more effectively leverage digital solutions to meet the needs of citizens.

Finally, continuous evaluation of digital public services and reform strategies was identified as a critical component of sustained improvement. Participants emphasized the importance of collecting feedback from users and stakeholders to assess the effectiveness of digital services and identify areas for enhancement. One participant remarked,

“We have to keep listening to our users; their feedback is invaluable in helping us refine our services.”

Regular evaluation not only helps in measuring the impact of digital reforms but also fosters a culture of accountability and responsiveness within public agencies. By establishing mechanisms for ongoing assessment and adaptation, public organizations can ensure that their digital public services remain relevant and effective in meeting the evolving needs of citizens.

Challenges in Implementing Bureaucratic Reforms

Despite the identified strategies for effective bureaucratic reform in digital public services, the qualitative analysis revealed several significant challenges that hinder the implementation of these reforms. These challenges include resistance to change, insufficient funding, inadequate infrastructure, lack of technical expertise, and regulatory constraints.

One of the most prominent challenges cited by participants was resistance to change within public sector organizations. Many stakeholders expressed concern that entrenched organizational cultures and mindsets hindered progress toward adopting digital reforms. A government official remarked,

“There’s a lot of skepticism about digital transformation. People are comfortable with the way things have always been done, and they resist anything that disrupts that comfort.”

This resistance often manifests in a reluctance to embrace new technologies or alter established processes, which can stall or even derail reform efforts. To overcome this challenge, public agencies must actively engage employees in the reform process, providing clear communication about the benefits of digital transformation and involving them in the decision-making process. By fostering a culture that values innovation and adaptability, organizations can mitigate resistance and create a more conducive environment for reform.

Insufficient funding emerged as a critical barrier to the successful implementation of digital public service reforms. Participants highlighted the challenges associated with securing adequate financial resources to support training, technology acquisition, and infrastructure development. An IT professional stated,

“Without proper funding, we can’t even begin to implement the changes we need. It’s frustrating to have the vision but not the means to achieve it.”

Limited budgets often result in scaled-back initiatives or delays in implementation, ultimately undermining the potential impact of digital reforms. To address this challenge, public agencies must prioritize funding for digital initiatives and seek innovative financing solutions, such as public-private partnerships, to leverage additional resources. By aligning financial support with strategic priorities, organizations can enhance their capacity to implement effective reforms.

The lack of adequate infrastructure was another significant challenge reported by participants. Many stakeholders noted that existing technological and organizational infrastructures were often outdated or incompatible with modern digital solutions. A government official remarked,

“We have systems that are decades old. It’s hard to integrate new technology when the foundation is so weak.”

Inadequate infrastructure can lead to operational inefficiencies and difficulties in implementing new digital services. Public agencies must invest in upgrading their infrastructure, ensuring that it is robust enough to support the demands of digital public service delivery. This may involve not only enhancing technical systems but also rethinking organizational structures to facilitate collaboration and innovation.

Participants also identified a lack of technical expertise as a significant barrier to effective bureaucratic reform. Many public sector employees may not possess the necessary skills to navigate complex digital tools or implement innovative solutions. One participant highlighted,

“We can have the best technology, but if our people don’t know how to use it, it’s pointless.”

This skills gap can result in the underutilization of digital tools and hinder the overall effectiveness of reform efforts. To mitigate this challenge, public agencies must prioritize capacity-building initiatives, including targeted training programs that equip employees with the skills needed to thrive in a digital environment. By investing in professional development, organizations can cultivate a workforce that is better prepared to embrace and implement digital reforms.

Finally, regulatory constraints were identified as a significant challenge in implementing bureaucratic reforms. Participants expressed concerns that outdated laws and regulations often obstructed the adoption of innovative digital solutions. A government official noted,

“Our regulations are stuck in the past and often don’t allow for the flexibility needed to implement new technologies.”

These constraints can create barriers to experimentation and hinder the responsiveness of public agencies to emerging needs. To address this challenge, policymakers must review and revise existing regulations to create a more supportive environment for digital transformation. By fostering regulatory frameworks that encourage innovation and flexibility, public agencies can better position themselves to implement effective digital public services.

Stakeholder Perceptions of Reform Effectiveness

The qualitative analysis of stakeholder perceptions revealed a range of opinions regarding the effectiveness of bureaucratic reforms aimed at enhancing digital public services. These perceptions are shaped by various factors, including personal experiences with digital services, the perceived impact of reforms on service delivery, and the level of engagement stakeholders feel they have in the reform process. The key themes identified in this section include the perceived benefits of

reforms, challenges in measuring effectiveness, and the importance of inclusive participation in the reform process.

Many stakeholders expressed a positive view of the reforms, highlighting the potential benefits of digital public services in terms of increased efficiency, accessibility, and transparency. Participants noted that the implementation of digital tools had significantly improved the speed and quality of service delivery. One government official remarked,

“The digitalization of our services has made a world of difference. We can process requests much faster now, which enhances our responsiveness to citizens.”

This sentiment was echoed by several participants who emphasized that digital public services not only streamline bureaucratic processes but also foster a more citizen-centric approach to service delivery. By reducing waiting times and simplifying access to services, digital reforms are perceived as a step forward in meeting the needs of the public and improving overall satisfaction.

While many stakeholders recognized the potential benefits of reforms, they also highlighted challenges in measuring the effectiveness of these changes. Participants expressed concerns about the lack of clear metrics and evaluation frameworks to assess the impact of digital public services on user experiences and outcomes. An IT professional stated,

“We need concrete data to understand how effective these reforms really are. Without it, we’re just guessing.”

This challenge underscores the necessity for public agencies to develop robust evaluation methodologies that encompass quantitative and qualitative measures to capture the full impact of digital reforms. By establishing clear performance indicators and collecting comprehensive feedback from users, organizations can better assess the effectiveness of their initiatives and identify areas for improvement.

The theme of inclusive participation emerged as a critical factor influencing stakeholder perceptions of reform effectiveness. Many participants emphasized the significance of engaging a diverse range of stakeholders in the reform process to ensure that their voices are heard and their needs are met. A government official highlighted,

“When stakeholders feel included in the process, they’re more likely to support the reforms. It’s essential that we listen to their feedback and adapt our strategies accordingly.”

This sentiment reflects the understanding that meaningful participation not only enhances the legitimacy of reform initiatives but also fosters a sense of ownership among stakeholders. By actively involving end-users and other relevant parties in the design, implementation, and evaluation of digital public services, public agencies can create a more inclusive and effective reform process that is responsive to the actual needs of the community.

Impact on Public Service Delivery

The qualitative analysis of the impact of bureaucratic reforms on public service delivery revealed significant changes in how services are accessed, experienced, and perceived by citizens. Participants noted both positive outcomes and ongoing challenges stemming from the implementation of digital public services. Key themes identified include enhanced accessibility,

improved efficiency, changes in user experience, and the potential for greater accountability and transparency in service delivery.

One of the most notable impacts of bureaucratic reforms on public service delivery is the enhanced accessibility of services for citizens. Many stakeholders highlighted how digital platforms have made it easier for individuals to access information and services without the need for physical visits to government offices. A government official stated,

“With our new online portal, citizens can now access services from anywhere, which is a huge improvement. This convenience has made a real difference in how people engage with us.”

The shift towards digital platforms has not only reduced geographical barriers but also provided greater flexibility for users, enabling them to access services at their convenience. This improved accessibility is especially beneficial for marginalized communities, who may face additional challenges in reaching physical government offices.

Participants also noted that bureaucratic reforms have led to improved efficiency in public service delivery. The digitization of processes has streamlined workflows, reduced processing times, and minimized bureaucratic red tape. An IT professional emphasized,

“We have seen a significant reduction in processing times since implementing digital solutions. This means that we can serve our citizens much faster and with fewer errors.”

This efficiency not only enhances the responsiveness of public agencies but also frees up resources that can be redirected towards further improving service delivery. The emphasis on efficiency is crucial for public agencies striving to meet the increasing demands for timely and effective services in a rapidly changing digital landscape.

The implementation of digital public services has also led to noticeable changes in the user experience for citizens interacting with government agencies. Many participants reported that the introduction of user-friendly digital platforms has improved satisfaction levels among service users. A participant noted,

“Navigating the online services is much easier than dealing with the old paper-based processes. It feels more modern and user-oriented.”

This positive user experience is essential for fostering trust in public institutions and encouraging citizen engagement with government services. However, participants also highlighted that not all users have had a seamless transition to digital services, indicating a need for ongoing support and education to help citizens adapt to new technologies.

Another significant impact of bureaucratic reforms on public service delivery is the potential for greater accountability and transparency. Digital platforms often include features that enable citizens to track the status of their requests and provide feedback on services rendered. A government official remarked,

“We’ve built in mechanisms for feedback and tracking, which helps us hold ourselves accountable to the public. It’s a game-changer in terms of transparency.”

This increased accountability fosters a culture of responsiveness within public agencies, as they are more directly answerable to citizens. Moreover, the transparency afforded by digital services

can enhance public trust in government institutions, encouraging more citizens to engage with public services.

This study provides valuable insights into the impact of bureaucratic reforms on the delivery of digital public services, highlighting the perceptions of various stakeholders regarding the effectiveness of these reforms. As public administration increasingly embraces digitalization, it is essential to critically examine how these reforms affect service delivery and user experience. The findings of this study align with existing literature, which emphasizes the transformative potential of digital public services in enhancing accessibility, efficiency, and transparency in public administration (Heinrich & Lynn, 2020; Kettunen & Kallio, 2021). However, this study also reveals significant challenges, such as resistance to change and the necessity for inclusive participation, which are often underexplored in the existing body of research.

One of the key contributions of this study is its exploration of stakeholder perceptions in relation to bureaucratic reform effectiveness. While previous research has focused primarily on the technological aspects of digital public services (Agostino et al., 2021), this study emphasizes the importance of understanding the human factors influencing the success of these reforms. By collecting qualitative data from various stakeholders, including government officials and IT professionals, this research captures the nuanced perspectives that are often overlooked in quantitative studies. Stakeholders identified resistance to change as a significant barrier, echoing the findings of Lapuente & Van (2020), who argued that organizational culture plays a crucial role in the adoption of digital initiatives.

The issue of resistance to change is multifaceted and can stem from various sources, including entrenched bureaucratic norms, fear of job displacement, and inadequate training in new technologies (Saha & Sarkar, 2021). Many public sector employees have been accustomed to traditional methods of service delivery, and the transition to digital platforms can be met with skepticism and reluctance. As highlighted by Ingrams et al. (2020), without addressing the cultural and psychological barriers to change, digital reform efforts may falter, resulting in a lack of buy-in from essential stakeholders. Therefore, fostering an organizational culture that embraces innovation and continuous learning is critical for the successful implementation of digital public services.

Moreover, this study highlights the challenges of measuring the effectiveness of digital reforms, a concern that has received limited attention in the literature. As indicated by the participants, there is a pressing need for clear metrics and evaluation frameworks to assess the impact of digital services on user experiences and outcomes. This gap in literature has been noted by researchers Skivington et al. (2021), who emphasize the importance of establishing comprehensive evaluation methodologies to ensure accountability and continuous improvement in public service delivery. The lack of standardized metrics hinders the ability of public agencies to make data-driven decisions about service improvements and to demonstrate the value of digital initiatives to stakeholders. Consequently, future research should focus on developing evaluation frameworks that incorporate both quantitative and qualitative measures, enabling a more holistic assessment of digital public service effectiveness.

The findings of this study also underscore the importance of inclusive participation in the reform process. Previous literature has often highlighted the need for stakeholder engagement (Reddick, 2018), but this study provides empirical evidence of how inclusive participation can enhance

perceptions of reform effectiveness. Engaging diverse stakeholders including citizens, civil society organizations, and frontline workers in the design and implementation of digital services can foster a sense of ownership and enhance the legitimacy of public initiatives (Kujala et al., 2022). The insights gathered from stakeholders reveal a strong desire for their input in shaping digital services, as they often possess valuable knowledge about the barriers and facilitators to successful implementation. Such participatory approaches can lead to more responsive and user-centered services, ultimately improving public trust and engagement in government initiatives.

Moreover, involving stakeholders in the reform process can mitigate resistance to change and promote a culture of collaboration. By actively including stakeholders in decision-making and implementation processes, public agencies can better align their digital initiatives with the needs and expectations of their users. As shown in the research of Kübler et al. (2020), participatory governance mechanisms can enhance the quality of public services by incorporating diverse perspectives and fostering innovation. Therefore, future research should explore various models of participatory governance that facilitate collaboration among different stakeholders, aiming for a more integrated approach to public service delivery.

Conclusion

This study highlights the critical role of bureaucratic reforms in enhancing the quality of digital public services, underscoring the importance of stakeholder perceptions and inclusive participation in the reform process. By addressing the challenges of resistance to change and the need for comprehensive evaluation frameworks, this research contributes valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on digital transformation in public administration. The findings not only fill existing gaps in the literature but also offer practical implications for policymakers and practitioners aiming to improve service delivery through digital initiatives. Ultimately, fostering a culture of collaboration and adaptability within public agencies is essential for realizing the full potential of digital public services, thereby enhancing public trust and engagement in governmental processes

References

- AbdulKareem, A. K., Bello, M. L., Ishola, A. A., & Jimoh, L. A. (2020). E-Government, information and communications technology support and paperless environment in Nigerian public universities: issues and challenges. *Journal of Technology Management and Business*, 7(1), 65-74.
- Agostino, D., Arnaboldi, M., & Lema, M. D. (2021). New development: COVID-19 as an accelerator of digital transformation in public service delivery. *Public Money & Management*, 41(1), 69-72.
- Aissaoui, N. (2022). The digital divide: a literature review and some directions for future research in light of COVID-19. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*, 71(8/9), 686-708.
- Chan, F. K., Thong, J. Y., Brown, S. A., & Venkatesh, V. (2025). Design characteristics and service experience with e-government services: A public value perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, 80, 102834.
- Diaz, J. (2021). Towards More'E-Volved'Democracy: An Exploration of Digital Governance in Estonia and the Lessons it Holds for Strengthening Democracy in the United States.

- Ingrams, A., Piotrowski, S., & Berliner, D. (2020). Learning from our mistakes: Public management reform and the hope of open government. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 3(4), 257-272.
- Kaur, M., Buisman, H., Bekker, A., & McCulloch, C. (2022). Innovative capacity of governments: A systemic framework.
- Klochan, V., Piliaiev, I., Sydorenko, T., Khomutenko, V., Solomko, A., & Tkachuk, A. (2021). Digital Platforms as a tool for the transformation of strategic Consulting in Public Administration. *Journal of Information Technology Management*, 13(Special Issue: Role of ICT in Advancing Business and Management), 42-61.
- Kübler, D., Rochat, P. E., Woo, S. Y., & Van der Heiden, N. (2020). Strengthen governability rather than deepen democracy: why local governments introduce participatory governance. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 86(3), 409-426.
- Kujala, J., Sachs, S., Leinonen, H., Heikkinen, A., & Laude, D. (2022). Stakeholder engagement: Past, present, and future. *Business & Society*, 61(5), 1136-1196.
- Lapuente, V., & Van de Walle, S. (2020). The effects of new public management on the quality of public services. *Governance*, 33(3), 461-475.
- Maulana, A., Indriati, F., & Hidayah, K. (2022). Analysis of Bureaucratic Reform Through Delaying of Government Institutions in Indonesia. *Jurnal Borneo Administrator*, 18(2), 155-170.
- Sager, F., & Rosser, C. (2021). Weberian bureaucracy. *Oxford research encyclopedia of politics*.
- Saha, M., & Sarkar, A. (2021). Review on multiple facets of drug resistance: a rising challenge in the 21st century. *Journal of xenobiotics*, 11(4), 197-214.
- Skivington, K., Matthews, L., Simpson, S. A., Craig, P., Baird, J., Blazeby, J. M., ... & Moore, L. (2021). Framework for the development and evaluation of complex interventions: gap analysis, workshop and consultation-informed update. *Health technology assessment (Winchester, England)*, 25(57), 1.